## FULL PAPER





DOI: 10.1002/chem.200501261

### Ruthenium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Epoxidation of Olefins Using  $H_2O_2$ , Part I: Synthesis of New Chiral N,N,N-Tridentate Pybox and Pyboxazine Ligands and Their Ruthenium Complexes

Man Kin Tse,<sup>[a]</sup> Santosh Bhor,<sup>[a]</sup> Markus Klawonn,<sup>[a]</sup> Gopinathan Anilkumar,<sup>[a]</sup> Haijun Jiao,<sup>[a]</sup> Christian Döbler,<sup>[a]</sup> Anke Spannenberg,<sup>[a]</sup> Wolfgang Mägerlein,<sup>[b]</sup> Herbert Hugl,  $^{[b]}$  and Matthias Beller\*[a]

Abstract: The synthesis of chiral tridentate N,N,N-pyridine-2,6-bisoxazolines 3 (pybox ligands) and N,N,N-pyridine-2,6-bisoxazines 4 (pyboxazine ligands) is described in detail. These novel ligands constitute a useful toolbox for the application in asymmetric catalysis. Compounds 3 and 4 are conveniently prepared by cyclization of enantiomerically pure  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -amino al-

### Introduction

Transition-metal-catalyzed asymmetric reactions provide us with efficient and direct methods for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure compounds.<sup>[1]</sup> For the development of new asymmetric reactions as well as the optimization of known processes the design and synthesis of a suitable chiral controller ligand is the crucial step.<sup>[2]</sup> In the last two decades certain types of ligands $[3]$  for asymmetric catalysis have evolved such as binaphthol derivatives, $[4]$  salens, $[5]$  bisoxazolines,<sup>[6]</sup> phosphinooxazolines,<sup>[7]</sup> tartrate derivatives,<sup>[8]</sup> and cinchona alkaloids,[9] which allow for a more general use in various asymmetric reactions. Unfortunately, small structural variations within substrate molecules may dramatically change the outcome of the catalytic reaction. Basically, each

[a] Dr. M. K. Tse, Dr. S. Bhor, Dr. M. Klawonn, Dr. G. Anilkumar, Dr. H. Jiao, Dr. C. Döbler, Dr. A. Spannenberg, Prof. Dr. M. Beller Leibniz-Institut für Organische Katalyse an der Universität Rostock e.V. Albert-Einstein-Strasse 29a, 18059 Rostock (Germany) Fax: (+49) 381-1281-5000 E-mail: matthias.beller@ifok-rostock.de

[b] Dr. W. Mägerlein, Prof. Dr. H. Hugl Lanxess Deutschland GmbH BU Fine Chemicals, 51369 Leverkusen (Germany)

cohols with dimethyl pyridine-2,6-dicarboximidate. The corresponding ruthenium complexes are efficient asymmetric epoxidation catalysts and have been prepared in good yield and fully char-

Keywords: epoxidation homogeneous catalysis · N ligands · olefins · ruthenium

acterized by spectroscopic means. Four of these ruthenium complexes have been characterized by X-ray crystallography. For the first time the molecular structure of a pyboxazine complex {2,6 bis- $[(4S)$ -4-phenyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium  $(S)$ -2 aa, is presented.

substrate needs its own optimized catalyst and ligand system. Hence, it is a significant advantage of a given ligand class if it allows for easy steric and electronic tuning of the structure.

Some time ago we started a project on the development of efficient oxidation methods for olefins,[10] especially the use of ruthenium catalysts<sup>[11, 15e]</sup> for olefin epoxidation.<sup>[12]</sup> In this regard, we became interested in pyridine-2,6-bisoxazolines (so-called pybox ligands).<sup>[13]</sup> The versatile coordination ability of the pybox structure, from early to late transition metals as well as lanthanides, and its rigidity as coplanar N,N,N-tridentate ligands makes them excellent chiral controller ligands for a variety of asymmetric reactions.<sup>[22a]</sup> Accordingly transition-metal complexes of pybox ligands show a wide range of reactivity pattern including reduction,[14] oxidation,<sup>[15]</sup> aldol-type reactions,<sup>[16]</sup> Diels–Alder reactions,<sup>[17]</sup> cyclopropanation,<sup>[18]</sup> aziridation,<sup>[19]</sup> cross-coupling reactions,<sup>[20]</sup> polymerization,<sup>[21]</sup> and others.<sup>[18c, 22]</sup>

At the start of our investigations we were especially attracted by Nishiyama's catalyst [Ru-(pyridinebisoxazoline)-(pyridine-dicarboxylate)] (1) for asymmetric epoxidation (Scheme 1).[15e] Unfortunately, this system had several drawbacks such as low reactivity (96 h were needed for full conversion) and the limited scope of the catalyst (epoxidation was only demonstrated for *trans*-stilbene).



$$
Ph \longrightarrow \text{Ph} + \text{PhI(OAc)}_2 \xrightarrow{5 \text{ mol\% [Ru\{(S, S)-iPr_z-(pybox)\}(pydic)]}} \text{Ph} \longrightarrow \text{Ph} \longrightarrow \text{Ph} \longrightarrow \text{Ph}
$$
\n
$$
R \longrightarrow \text{Ph} \longrightarrow \text{Ph} \longrightarrow \text{Ph} \longrightarrow \text{Ph}
$$
\n
$$
80\% . 63\% ee
$$

Scheme 1. Nishiyama's epoxidation of *trans-stilbene*.

By carefully studying the reaction we discovered that addition of a defined amount of water to the reaction mixture leads to a faster and more general epoxidation procedure.<sup>[12e]</sup> More recently, we developed enantioselective epoxidation protocols with these catalysts applying alkyl peroxides<sup>[12d]</sup> and hydrogen peroxide (Scheme 2).<sup>[12a–b]</sup> An important factor for the success was the use of a systematic varied toolbox of pybox ligands, which also led to the development of a novel class of ligands, the so-called pyboxazines.



Scheme 2. Epoxidation of olefins catalyzed by  $[Ru(pyboxazine)(pydic)] (R)$ -2 ca.<sup>[12b]</sup>

In this paper we report a full account of the strategy of the synthesis of these novel pybox and pyboxazine ligand library and their full characterization. In addition, their ruthenium complexes have also been synthesized and fully characterized. The comparison of the structures of pybox and pyboxazine are discussed in detail with the aid of their ruthenium complexes.[23]

#### Results and Discussion

In order to apply (pybox)(pyridinedicarboxylate)ruthenium complexes in a more general sense and to find the optimal catalyst for a respective transformation, we were interested in systematic variations of steric and electronic parameters of the corresponding ligands. The obtained results should contribute to a more rational design of improved catalysts for asymmetric epoxidation. Therefore as a starting point for our synthetic work, we analyzed the possibility of modifications of catalyst 1 with respect to the availability of starting materials, literature knowledge, and the ease of synthesis (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Possible positions for modification of 1.

It is clear that from the abundant naturally occurring amino acids, the corresponding 2-aminoethan-1-ol derivatives are in general obtained without much problem. Hence, the synthesis of the five-membered oxazoline becomes straightforward. Even if the substituted  $\alpha$ -amino acid is not

> available for the synthesis of the corresponding chiral amino alcohol, asymmetric aminohydroxylation of olefins<sup>[24]</sup> or enzymatic resolution of the racemic amino  $acids^{[25]}$  provide practical alternative pathways. In contrast to five-membered oxazolines, six-membered oxazines have been only sporadically studied due to the more difficult access of the corresponding 3-aminopropan-1-ol derivatives.<sup>[26]</sup> Nevertheless, resolution by co-crystallization

of  $\beta$ -amino acid esters with enantiomerically pure organic acids,<sup>[26c, 27]</sup> enzymatic resolution of  $\beta$ -amino acids<sup>[28]</sup> or direct asymmetric Mannich reaction<sup>[29]</sup> make chiral nonracemic 3substituted 3-aminopropan-1-ols accessible. Some of the bamino acids are even commercially available.<sup>[30]</sup> In addition to variations of the oxazoline moiety, we were also interested in the electronic effects to the catalyst. Therefore, we decided to synthesize *para*-substituted  $pybox^{[14b,31]}$  and 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid  $(H_2 \text{pydic})^{[32]}$  derivatives as well.

The pybox ligands 3 used in this study are shown here. They cover a broad range of substitution patterns, including 4-, 5-, cis-4,5-di-, trans-4,5-di- and 4,5,5-tri-substitution on the oxazoline ring, para-substitution on the pyridine ring, ortho- or *meta*-substitution on the phenyl ring at the 4-position of the oxazoline, and a wide range of functional groups, such as halogen, alcohol, amine, silyl ether, ester, aryl- and alkyl-groups. Except for  $3a$ ,  $3n$  and  $3p$ , which are commer-



cially available,[33] all other pybox ligands were prepared in a straightforward manner.

The synthesis of the new ligands  $3b-e$  started from commercially available chelidamic acid 5b, which was converted into the bis(hydroxyamide)pyridine  $6b$  in 90% yield in two steps with thionylchloride and (S)-phenylglycinol. For ring closure we initially followed the conventional method, which was developed mainly by Nishiyama and others,<sup>[22a]</sup> to convert the hydroxyl group to the chloride followed by intramolecular nucleophilic substitution. However, cyclization of the bis(chloroamide)pyridine 7 with NaH inTHF did not yield the desired pybox 3b.

Therefore, we turned our interest to a recently developed method of direct cyclization of  $6b$ .<sup>[42]</sup> Treatment of the bishydroxyamide 6**b** with diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) in dichloromethane at  $-20^{\circ}$ C gave the 4-chloropybox 3b in 50% yield (Scheme 4). The corresponding 4-(dimethylamino)pybox  $3c$  was easily obtained in 73% yield by the substitution reaction of  $3b$  with aqueous dimethylamine (40 wt%) in THF at 40 $^{\circ}$ C. In addition, we were able to perform the synthesis of 4-phenyl- and 4-naphthyl-substituted ligands 3 d,e by palladium-catalyzed Suzuki reactions.[32b] Initial efforts of the direct synthesis from 4-chloropybox 3b were unsuccessful. This can be explained by palladium deactivation due to its strong coordination with pybox. Therefore we changed our strategy to first perform the Suzuki reaction followed by cyclization. To our delight treatment of the bishydroxyamide 6b with phenyl boronic acid or naphthyl



Scheme 4. a) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, DMF, reflux, 2 d; b) (S)-phenyl glycinol, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, NEt<sub>3</sub>, 0°C to RT, 18 h, 90% in 2 steps; c) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, reflux, 9 h; d) NaH, THF, RT; e) 3 equiv DAST, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -20 °C, 30 min, 3b 50%, 3d 55%, 3e 40%; f) 40 wt% aqueous dimethylamine, THF, 40°C, 24 h, 73%; g) aryl boronic acid, 5 mol% Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, 10 mol%  $P(o-Tolyl)_3$ , 3.5 equiv CsF in DME at 100 °C for 18 h, 6d 64%, 6e 75%.

boronic acid using 5 mol% Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, 10 mol% P( $o$ -Tolyl)<sub>3</sub>, 3.5 equivalents CsF in DME ( $DME = 1.2$ -dimethoxyethane) at  $100^{\circ}$ C for 18 h gave the corresponding 4-substituted bishydroxyamide 6d and 6e in 61 and 75% yield, respectively. 4-Phenyl- and 4-naphthyl-substituted pybox ligands 3d and 3e were finally obtained by cyclization of 6d and 6e, respectively, with diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) in dichloro-

methane at  $-20$ °C.

Pybox 3h, which has two phenyl groups on the 5-position of the oxazoline rings and unsubstituted 4-positions, has been mentioned in the literature, but no experimental details have been reported.[36] Starting from  $(R)$ -2-amino-1phenylethanol (8), compound 3h was obtained in 35% yield after refluxing 8 with imidate 9 in anhydrous  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  for 2– 4 days.<sup>[18a]</sup> Likewise, ligands  $3k$ and 3l were synthesized from amino alcohol  $10^{[43]}$  and  $11^{[44]}$ in81% and 25%, respectively (Scheme 5).

zation<sup>[26c]</sup> and enzymatic resolution<sup>[28a]</sup> as well as commercial available starting materials $[45]$  to synthesize eight enantiomerically pure pyboxazines 4. These ligands include aryl groups with different electronic and steric properties as well as an alkyl group. In two cases both enantiomers of the ligands (4a and 4b) were synthesized.



Scheme 5. a)  $CH_2Cl_2$ , reflux, 2–4 d, 3h 35%, 3k 81%, 3l 25%.

Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1855 – 1874 © 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> –––1859

### Homogeneous Catalysis **Homogeneous Catalysis**

In general, there are four common synthetic routes for the preparation of pybox ligands 3 (Scheme 6). After the preparation of this toolbox of ligands, we found that the imidate method (route D) is the most convenient one. The short synthetic route, easy handling of reagents, mild reaction conditions and no heavy metal waste makes route D most advantageous. However, route C, which uses DAST as the key reagent for the cyclization, is preferable for the preparation of 4-substituted pyridine derivatives.

Next, we turned our interest to the synthesis of the pyboxazine ligands 4. Due to above mentioned advantages all pyboxazine ligands were prepared according to route D. The availability of the enantiopure 3-aminopropan-1-ols is an important pre-requisite for the synthesis of novel pyboxazine ligands 4. We successfully applied resolution of  $\beta$ -amino acid derivatives by co-crystalli-





tartaric acid yielded  $(R)$ -14a in 42% yield (>98% ee; (Scheme 8).<sup>[26c, 27, 47]</sup> However, rac-14**b** and  $rac{-14c}{ }$  could not be resolved by this method. Therefore, we applied enzymatic resolution protocols for these substrates.<sup>[28a]</sup> Thus, rac-14**b** was hydrolyzed to  $(S)$ -12**b** with Amano Lipase PS at room temperature at pH 8.2 in 45% yield (>99% ee) with  $(R)$ -14**b** remained in 43–48% yield ( $>98\%$  ee). Applying the same reaction conditions rac-14c was not hydrolyzed in the presence of Amano Lipase PS. However, prolonged reaction time (4 days) at  $36^{\circ}$ C gave (S)-12c in  $45\%$  yield  $(44\%$  ee) with  $35\%$  (R)-14c (95% ee) unreacted. As 95% ee of  $(R)$ -14c is still not yet suitable for

Scheme 6. Synthetic routes for pybox ligands 3. Typical reaction conditions: Route A: i) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, ii) amino alcohol, iii) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, iv) NaOH; Route B: i) ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, PhCl, reflux; Route C: i) amino alcohol, ii) DAST; Route D: i) amino alcohol,  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , reflux.<sup>[21a]</sup>

Racemic 3-aryl  $\beta$ -amino acids and their derivatives were synthesized according to literature methods in good yields (Scheme 7).<sup>[26c, 46]</sup> Co-crystallization of rac-14 a with L-tartaric acid gave (S)-14a in 43% yield (>98% ee) and co-crystalli-



Scheme 7. Synthesis of racemic substituted  $\beta$ -amino acids and derivatives. a) EtOH, reflux, 6 h, 12 a 38–65%, 12 b 38%, 12 c 30–65%; b) HCl, MeOH, 13a 74–96%, 13b 68–86%, 13c 81–88%; c) NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, ethyl acetate, 14a 78-96%, 14b 92%, 14c 87%.



ligand preparation, we used only enantiopure 14a and 14b

Scheme 8. Resolution of  $\beta$ -amino acids and derivatives. a) L-Tartaric acid crystallized with  $(S)$ -14a and the filtrate crystallized with  $D$ -tartaric acid for  $(R)$ -14a, MeOH, -20°C; b) NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, ethyl acetate,  $(S)$ -14a 43%  $(>98\%$  ee),  $(R)$ -14a 42%  $(>98\%$  ee); c) Amano Lipase PS, pH 8.2 (phosphate buffer), RT, 24 h,  $(S)$ -12b 43-45% (>99% ee),  $(R)$ -14b 45%  $(>98\%$  ee); Amano Lipase PS, pH 8.2 (phosphate buffer), 36<sup>°</sup>C, 96 h, (S)-12 c 45% (44% ee), (R)-14 c 35% (95% ee).

1860 <www.chemeurj.org> © 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1855 – 1874

phenyl-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid  $(5f)$ . In addition, preparation of 4-bromo-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid  $(5d)$  was performed according to literature from chelidamic acid.[32a]

The different pybox  $3a-u$ , pyboxazine **4a–f**, and pydic 5 a–f ligands were then subjected to complex formation with  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (Scheme 11).<sup>[15e]</sup> It is also possible to synthesize these complexes with  $RuCl<sub>3</sub>:xH<sub>2</sub>O$ , but drastic reaction conditions are needed

and commercially available 12c–f to the following ligand syntheses.

Next, the enantiopure 3 amino-propan-1-ols 15 a–f were obtained by reduction of the corresponding  $\beta$ -amino acid ester with  $N$ aBH<sub>4</sub> in THF or the  $\beta$ -amino acids directly with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (Scheme 9). Subsequently, cyclization with imidate 9 in anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$ yielded pyboxazine ligands 4a–f. Compounds 4a–f can be used for complexation directly or purified by flash chromatography through alumina  $(CH_2Cl_2/Et_3N 100:1)$ . The new pyboxazine ligands are stable



Scheme 10. a) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, DMF, reflux, 2 d; b) H<sub>2</sub>O; c) P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, Bu<sub>4</sub>NBr, toluene; d) MeOH, NaOMe, reflux, 2 h; e) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, RT, 18 h; f) MeOH; g) phenyl boronic acid, 5 mol% Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, 10 mol% P( $o$ -Tolyl)<sub>3</sub>, 3.5 equiv CsF in DME at 100 °C for 18 h.

in air as white solids, but slowly hydrolyze in the presence of water.

tion with phenyl boronic acid to yield dimethyl 4-phenyl-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate 19.<sup>[32b]</sup> Hydrolysis of 19 yielded 4-



Scheme 9. Synthesis of pyboxazines 4a–f. a) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, THF, (R)-15 a 78%, (S)-15 a 72%, (R)-15 b 55%, (S)-15 b 45%, (R)-15 c 97%; b) LiAlH4, THF, (R)-15 d 80%, (R)-15 e 85%, (R)-15 f 83%; c) CH2Cl2, reflux, 2–4 days,  $(R)$ -4 a 52%, (S)-4 a 51%,  $(R)$ -4 b 59%, (S)-4 b 68%,  $(R)$ -4 c 81%, (S)-4 d 79%, (S)-4 e 26%,  $(R)$ -4 f 61%.

To rationalize the electronic effects on the 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate side of the catalysts, para-substituted 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acids were also synthesized according to literature procedures (Scheme 10).<sup>[32]</sup> All co-ligands were prepared starting from chelidamic acid  $(5b)$ , which was first chlorinated with thionyl chloride under refluxing conditions to give  $16$ .<sup>[32d]</sup> Compound 16 was treated with water to give the 4-chloro-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid  $(5c)$  and substitu-

tion of the chlorine of 16 to a methoxy group was achieved by using sodium methoxide in methanol to afford dimethyl 4 methoxy-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate 17. Compound 17 was hydrolyzed to 4-methoxy-2,6 pyridinedicarboxylic acid (5 e) by using potassium carbonate in a mixture of methanol and water. Compound 18 was also transformed by palladium-catalyzed Suzuki coupling reac(refluxing in *n*BuOH under Ar for 12 h)<sup>[12a]</sup> and only low yields are obtained.<sup>[48]</sup> [Ru(pybox)(pydic)] complexes (1) were obtained in moderate to excellent yields (47–98%) as crystalline solids, except  $1$  oa and  $1$  ua, since  $3$  o and  $3$  u are unstable under the reaction conditions (Table 1).

It is worth noting that pyboxazines 4 canbe used for the preparation of the corresponding [Ru(pyboxazine)(pydic)] complexes (2) without any purification, though the yields



Scheme 11. Synthesis of  $[Ru(pybox)(pydic)]$  1 and  $[Ru(pyboxazine)(pydic)]$  2.

Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1855-1874  $\odot$  2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> – 1861

Table 1. Synthesis of [Ru(pybox)(pydic)] 1 and [Ru(pyboxazine)(pydic)] 2 complexes.

Entry	Pybox 3 or pyboxazine 4	$H_2$ Pydic 5	$[Ru(pybox)(pydic)]$ 1 or [Ru(pyboxazine)(pydic)] $2$	Yield $(\%)$
$\mathbf{1}$	3а	5a	1 aa	79
$\overline{c}$	3а	5 <sub>b</sub>	1 ab	86
3	3а	5c	1 ac	75
$\overline{4}$	3а	5d	1 ad	86
5	3а	5e	1 ae	53
6	3а	5f	1 af	98
7	3 <sub>b</sub>	5a	1 <sub>ba</sub>	53
8	3c	5a	1 ca	64
9	3d	5a	1 da	47
10	3e	5a	1 ea	62
11	3f	5a	1 fa	86
12	3g	5a	1 ga	64
13	3h	5a	1 ha	71
14	3i	5a	1 ia	74
15	3j	5a	1 ja	75
16	3k	5a	1 ka	54
17	31	5a	1 la	70
18	3m	5a	1 ma	79
19	3n	5a	1 na	82
20	30	5a	$1$ oa	23
21	3p	5a	1 pa	79
22	3q	5а	1 qa	85
23	3r	5а	1 ra	59
24	3s	5а	1 sa	63
25	3t	5а	1 <sub>ta</sub>	71
26	3u	5a	1 ua	18
27	$(R)$ -4 a <sup>[a]</sup>	5a	$(R)$ -2aa	40
28	$(R)$ -4a[a]	5с	$(R)$ -2 ac	38
29	$(S)$ -4a[a]	5a	$(S)$ -2aa	29
30	$(R)$ -4 ${\bf b}^{[a]}$	5a	$(R)$ -2ba	49
31	$(S)$ -4 ${\bf b}^{[a]}$	5a	$(S)$ -2ba	48
32	$(R)$ -4 $c^{[a]}$	5а	$(R)$ -2ca	$60(89^{[b]})$
33	$(S)$ -4d	5a	$(S)$ -2da	54
34	$(S)$ -4e	5а	$(S)$ -2ea	53
35	$(R)$ -4 f	5а	$(R)$ -2 fa	28

[a] The ligand was used directly without purification. [b] Pure ligand was used.

are somewhat lower (Table 1, entries 27–35). All complexes are highly stable at room temperature and can be easily handled in air. In spite of the interesting catalytic perfor-

Table 2. Crystallographic data.

mance of complexes 1 and 2, so far no X-ray crystal structures of these complexes have been reported.<sup>[12b]</sup> We were interested in the detailed structural information in order to rationalize the catalytic activity to structural features. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by slow diffusion of hexane to a solution of the complex  $(1ja, 1na$  and  $(S)$ -2 aa) in dichloromethane or chloroform at room temperature and single crystals of 1ia were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of 1ia in hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH. Crys-



M. Beller et al.

tallographic data of the complexes are given in Table 2 and selected distances and angles are shown in Table 3.

As shown in Figure 1 the central ruthenium atom is coordinated by the pybox(azine) unit as well as the pydic unit in a distorted octahedral geometry. The pybox(azine) coordinates to Ru on one plane as a N,N,N-tridentate ligand and the pydic acts as a dianionic  $O<sub>N</sub>, O$ -tridentate ligand on another plane, in which they are nearly perpendicular to each other  $(86.9-93.0^{\circ})$ . The bond lengths between Ru and all the core nitrogen and oxygen atoms in all the complexes in this study are comparable. This implies that the ligands mainly affect the steric environment of the metal center rather than disturb the electronic properties. The bond lengths of Ru1 to N2 and N4  $(1.940(3)-1.976(3)$  Å) are shorter than those to N1 and N3  $(2.053(3)-2.087(3)$  Å); this difference arises from the steric limitations of the pybox(azine) ligands. This is also reflected by the small bond angle  $\alpha$  (108.0(3)– 109.5(3)° in 1ia, 1ja, and 1na and 110.8(2)-111.0(3)° in (S)-2 aa). The angle between the planes defined by N1, N2, N3, Ru1 and O1, N4, O2, Ru1 are close to  $90^{\circ}$  (86.9–-93.0°) and the mean deviations from the best plane through the atoms N1, N2, N3, N4 and Ru1 are very small  $(0.0074 - 0.0375 \text{ Å})$ . When all the nitrogen atoms are considered to coordinate all four equatorial positions of Ru with two trans axial oxygen atoms, this geometric feature resembles the well-established ruthenium–porphyrin structures.[49] Unlike the planar five-membered oxazoline rings, the six-membered oxazine rings adopt to a twist chair conformation. It is worth noting that  $(S)$ -2 aa shows a defined geometry in the oxazine rings, whereas in some other cases it is quite flexible.[26] This may be due to the effect of the tridentate pydic ligand.

It should also been pointed out that the orientation of the substituent at the chiral center adjacent to the nitrogen donor atoms may change when the oxazoline is replaced by oxazine. Indeed this chiral center is  $3.147/3.192 \text{ Å}$  away from Ru in  $(S)$ -2aa, which is less than that of 1 (3.311– 3.369 Å; Table 3).

The structures of the ligands  $3i$  and  $3j$  are controversial.<sup>[16d, 35, 50]</sup> Though the crystal structure of a La complex of





[a] The distance between Ru and the carbon atom  $\alpha$  to the imine. [b] The angle between mean plane N1, N2, N3, Ru1 and mean plane O1, N4, O2, Ru1. [c] Mean deviation from the best plane defined by N1, N2, N3, N4 and Ru1.

3*j* was reported, to the best of our knowledge, there is no direct comparison of the crystal structures of both the cisand trans-isomers known. From the crystal structures of 1ia and 1ja (Figure 1), the structures reported by Desimoni are confirmed.<sup>[16d, 35]</sup> There is no significant difference in the core structure of 1ia and 1ja observed. Therefore, one could expect that any reactivity and selectivity difference between 1ia and 1ja is probably due to steric reasons.

The signal pattern in both  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra confirmed a  $C_2$ -chiral monomeric complex also in solution. Comparing to the pybox(azine) ligands, the signal of the proton at the chiral center adjacent to the nitrogen donor atom in the ruthenium complexes moves about 0.5 ppm upfield in pybox and about 1 ppm in pyboxazine (Table 4). This also suggests that in the solution structure the chiral center adjacent to the nitrogen donor atom is closer to the

Table 4. Selected <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts [ppm] and UV/Vis absorption maxima [nm].





[a] Chemical shift of the proton at the chiral center adjacent to the nitrogen donor in ppm (shown above). [b] The corresponding chemical shift of the ligand is in the parentheses. [c] Chemical shift of the imine carbon in ppm (shown above). [d]  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  of the UV/Vis absorption spectrum of the complex in  $CH_2Cl_2$ . [e] The UV/Vis absorption spectrum of the complex in MeOH.

Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1855 – 1874 © 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> –––1863



Figure 1.  $\lbrack Ru(pybox)(pydic)\rbrack$  and  $\lbrack Ru(pybox) (pydic)\rbrack$  complexes 1ia, 1ja, 1na, and  $(S)$ -2aa. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity and the thermal ellipsoids correspond to 30% probability.

metal in  $[Ru(pyboxazine)(pydic)]$  2 than that in  $[Ru(pybox)$ -(pydic)]  $\bf{1}$  as shown in the crystal structures. The imine carbon of both the pybox and pyboxazine rings deshields (3 to 5 ppm) indicating loss of electron density due to coordination to the metal center.

The UV-visible absorption spectra of the ruthenium complexes gave us some insights in the electronic structure of the complexes and the effects of the substituents as well. As shown in Table 4, there are two absorption peaks for both complexes  $1$  and  $2$ . The absorption maximum at around 480 nm  $(\varepsilon \sim 20000 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$  is about six times stronger than that at around 380 nm ( $\varepsilon \sim 3000 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). To assign these observed UV-visible adsorption spectra, we carried out time-dependent density functional theory computations (TD-DFT). Direct comparison between computed and observed adsorption maxima should provide a more detailed insight into the electronic interaction. Computations were done in two steps by geometry optimization and excitation energy. Here, the parent or unsubstituted complexes 1' and 2' (Scheme 12) were compared. The optimized structural parameters are given in Table 5.



[Ru(H<sub>2</sub>-pybox)(pydic)] 1'

[Ru(H<sub>2</sub>-pyboxazine)(pydic)] 2'

Scheme 12. Parent complexes for structure optimization and excitation energy calculation.

Table 5. Selected bond lengths  $[\hat{A}]$ , angles  $[°]$  and absorption maxima in calculated  $1'$  and  $2'$ .<sup>[a,b]</sup>

	1'	$2^{\prime}$
$Ru-N1$	2.103	2.116
$Ru-N2$	1.988	1.967
$Ru-N4$	2.017	2.018
$Ru$ – $O1$	2.123	2.127
$N1-Ru-N2$	77.6	78.2
$N1-Ru-N3$	155.2	156.3
$N1-Ru-N4$	102.4	101.8
$O1-Ru-N1$	92.6	93.0
$O1-Ru-N2$	102.0	102.1
$O1-Ru-N3$	92.6	91.9
$O1-Ru-N4$	78.0	77.9
$O1-Ru-O2$	156.0	155.9
$\lambda_{\max}$ (1)[c,d]	347(0.11)	359 (0.07)
$\lambda_{\max}$ (2) <sup>[c,d]</sup>	440 (0.29)	441 (0.26)

[a] At B3LYP/LANL2DZ(d). [b] Using the same numbering systems in X-ray crystal structure. [c] At TD-B3P86/LANL2DZ(d)//B3LYP/ LANL2DZ(d). [d] The oscillator strength in parenthesis.

All the structures have  $C_2$  symmetry and they are found to be energy minima on the potential-energy surface. The close agreement between the computed bond parameters and that determined by X-ray crystallography in Table 3 demonstrates the quality of the computational method.

In addition to the structural parameters, the excitation energies for the comparison with the observed UV-visible spectra were computed. In the calculations we found two excited states with very strong oscillator strengths. Detailed analysis shows that the  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(1)$  corresponds to the allowed excitation (347  $(1')$  and 359 nm  $(2')$ ) from the Ru d orbitals to the  $\pi^*$  orbital of the pydic ligand  $(Ru(d) \rightarrow pydic(\pi^*))$ , and the  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(2)$  corresponds mainly to the allowed excitation (440 (1') and 441 nm (2')) from the Ru d orbitals to the  $\pi^*$ orbitals of the pybox or pyboxazine ligands  $(Ru(d) \rightarrow p yb)$ (azine)( $\pi^*$ )). In addition, there is a set of excited states with very low oscillator strengths. As given in Table 5, both  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(1)$  and  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(2)$  agree reasonably with the experimental data for the phenyl-substituted systems.

Electron-deficient or aromatic para-substituents on the pyridine ring lead to significant red shifts on the 380 nm peak, while the electron-donating methoxy group gives a



blue shift (Table 4, 1aa-1af, 1ab could not be accounted, since the UV-visible spectrum was taken in MeOH rather than  $CH_2Cl_2$  due to solubility problems). Evidently an electron-deficient or aromatic group substituted on the pydic side stabilizes the pydic( $\pi^*$ ) orbital, which results in a red shift for **1aa** and **1ac–1af** for the transition of  $Ru(d)$  to pydic( $\pi^*$ ). Stabilization of the pydic( $\pi^*$ ) orbital by introducing an electron-withdrawing chloro group also leads to a red shift of the near UV absorption of 2 ac (384 nm) relative to 2 aa (393 nm). Electron-withdrawing or aromatic groups at the para-position of pybox stabilizes the  $Ru(d)$  orbital. Accordingly, blue shifts are observed for 1aa, 1ba, 1da, and 1ea. The red shift for 1ca can be explained by protonation.[51] On the other hand the strong visible absorption at  $\sim$  480 nm is influenced more by the electronic nature of the pybox ligands. As a para-substitution of the pyridine ring of the pybox ligand affects its  $\pi^*$  orbital more than the Ru(d) orbital, the energy difference between  $Ru(d)$  and  $pybox(\pi^*)$ orbitals decreases with an electron-withdrawing group. Therefore red shifts were observed in the visible absorption at  $\sim$  480 nm (Table 4, 1aa–1ea, except for 1ca infra supra). This effect diminishes when the substituents are far away from the metal center (Table 4, 2aa-2ea). It should be noted that the electronic environment of the metal complexes can be fine tuned easily by the para-substitution strategy on both ligands.

In conclusion, we have synthesized 29 neutral N,N,N-tridentate pybox and pyboxazine ligands. In combination of these ligands with 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid and derivatives,  $35$  new ruthenium $(n)$  complexes have been synthesized and fully characterized. In addition, four new ruthenium(II) complexes have been characterized by X-ray crystallography; this allows for detailed comparison of structure relationships. Structural investigations also revealed that pyboxazine ligands not only resemble the well-known pybox ligands, but also change the orientation of the  $\alpha$ -carbon atom at the chiral center adjacent to the nitrogen donor atoms. In general, these novel ligands and catalysts constitute an interesting toolbox for a variety of catalytic asymmetric reactions. In the following paper their use in asymmetric epoxidation is described in detail.

### Experimental Section

4-Chloro-2,6-bis[hydroxyamide]pyridine (6b): Chelidamic acid  $(5b; 1g)$ , 5.46 mmol) was treated with  $S OCl<sub>2</sub>$  (27 mL) at refluxing temperature for 2 d. Excess  $S OCl<sub>2</sub>$  was then removed under reduced pressure to give the acid chloride as a white solid. A solution of the acid chloride  $(1.3 g,$ 5.46 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (25 mL) was slowly to a solution of  $(S)$ -phenyl glycinol  $(1.64 \text{ g}, 12 \text{ mmol})$  and triethylamine  $(5.0 \text{ mL}, 36 \text{ mmol})$  in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (25 mL), added at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature and water was added. The mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ (50 mL $\times$ 2), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with 1:2 ethyl acetate (EA) and hexane to give 6b as a white solid (2.16 g, 4.9 mmol, 90%).  $R_f = 0.14$ (hexane/EA 1:1); m.p. 75–78 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = -89.1$ ° (c=1.0 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.03 (m, 4H), 5.18 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.09–7.37 (m, 10H), 8.22 (s, 2H), 8.77 ppm (d, 2H); 13C NMR

# Homogeneous Catalysis **Homogeneous Catalysis**

 $(100.6 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta = 55.9, 66.0, 116.3, 125.6, 126.8, 128.0, 128.9, 138.5,$ 150.0, 162.8 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 408 (100), 390 (70), 288 (93), 258 (17), 91 (47); FAB-MS: m/z: 440 [M<sup>+</sup>]; HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 440.13770; found: 440.13771.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-chloropyridine  $(3b)$ : Diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST)  $(313.44 \mu l, 385.5 \text{ mg})$ 2.4 mmol) was slowly added to a solution of bishydroxyamide  $6b$ (350 mg, 0.8 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) at  $-20$ °C under argon. After 8 h stirring at  $-20$ °C, ammonium hydroxide solution (1 mL) was added slowly. Subsequently the cooling bath was removed and water (20 mL) was added. When the reaction mixture had reached room temperature two layers were separated and the aqueous layers were extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL × 2). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to give  $3b$  as a white solid (60 mg, 0.4 mmol, 50%).  $R_f = 0.35$  (hexane/EA 1:1); m.p. 169–170 °C; [a]<sub>D</sub> =  $-108.6^{\circ}$  (c=0.5 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.67 (unresolved dd, 2H), 5.17 (unresolved dd, 2H), 5.70 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.54–7.63 (m, 10H), 8.59 ppm (s, 2H); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl3):  $\delta$  = 70.8, 76.1, 126.9, 127.2, 128.4, 129.3, 141.8, 146.1, 148.4, 163.1 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 403 (76) [M] <sup>+</sup>, 253 (24), 118 (27), 104 (100), 91(51); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}CIN_3O_2$ : 403.10876; found: 403.10474.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridine (3c): An aqueous solution of dimethylamine (40 wt%, 25 mL) was added to a solution of  $3b$  (250 mg, 0.62 mmol) in THF (8 mL). The mixture was stirred for one day at  $40^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was crystallized in ethyl acetate to give 3c as white solid (185 mg, 0.45 mmol, 73%).  $R_f = 0.52$ (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/methanol 9:1); m.p. 154–156 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = -9.5$ ° (c=0.26 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 3.06$  (s, 6H), 4.31 (t, J= 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.84 (dd, J=10.3, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.38 (dd, J=10.3, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.39 (m, 10H), 7.45 ppm (s, 1H); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 38.5, 69.4, 74.5, 107.6, 126.9, 127.9, 141.5, 146.0, 154.3,$ 163.6 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/z$  (%): 412 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 100), 147 (70), 91(30); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{25}H_{24}N_4O_2H_2O$ : C 69.74, H 6.09, N 13.01; found: C 70.01, H 6.03, N 13.31.

4-Phenyl-2,6-bis[hydroxyamide]pyridine (6d): Bishydroxyamide 6b (500 mg, 1.13 mmol), phenyl boronic acid (165.5 mg, 1.35 mmol) and  $P(o-$ Tolyl)<sub>3</sub> (5 mol%) were dissolved in DME (8 mL). CsF (2.5 equiv) was added and the mixture was purged with argon. Pd $(OAc)$ <sub>2</sub> (5 mol%) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. After cooling to room temperature, water was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (50 mL $\times$ 2). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated. The residue was purified by silca gel column chromatography to give 6d as a white solid (350 mg, 0.72 mmol, 64%).  $R_f = 0.26$  (hexane/EA 7:3); m.p. 84–85°C;  $[\alpha]_D = -15.7$ °  $(c=0.20, \text{ CHCl}_3)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400.1 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}, \text{Cl}_2)$ :  $\delta = 3.21$  (brs, 2H), 3.95 (brs, 4H), 5.20 (ddd, J= 7.5, 4.9, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.24–7.44 (m, 13H), 7.65–7.68 (m, 2H), 8.43 (s, 2H), 8.75 ppm (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$ =55.5, 65.6, 121.9, 126.3, 126.7, 128.3, 128.8, 129.5, 136.0, 138.8, 149.0, 151.1, 163.3 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 450 (100), 450 (16), 330 (72), 197  $(36), 91 (13);$  FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 482  $[M]$ <sup>+</sup>.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-phenylpyridine  $(3d)$ : Diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) (204.3 µl, 251.3 mg, 1.6 mmol) was slowly added to a solution of bishydroxyamide 6d (250 mg, 0.52 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL), at  $-20$ °C under argon. After 8 h stirring at  $-20^{\circ}$ C, ammonium hydroxide solution (1 mL) was added slowly. Subsequently the cooling bath was removed and water (20 mL) was added. When the reaction mixture had reached room temperature, the two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to give 3d as a white solid (130 mg, 0.29 mmol, 55%).  $R_f = 0.46$  (hexane/EA 7:3); m.p. 178-181 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = +10.1$ <sup>o</sup> (c=0.12 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =4.43  $(t, J=8.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{ H}), 4.44 \text{ (dd, } J=10.3, 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{ H}), 5.47 \text{ (dd, } J=10.3,$ 

8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.35 (m, 10H), 7.44–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.73 (m. 2H), 8.58 ppm (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 70.4, 75.6, 126.2, 127.8, 127.8, 128.8, 128.8, 128.9, 129.2, 129.8, 141.7, 147.3, 150.2, 163.7 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 445 (78) [M] <sup>+</sup>, 104 (100), 91(49); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{29}H_{23}N_3O_2$ : 445.17902; found: 445.17412. 4-(1-Naphthyl)-2,6-bis[hydroxyamide]pyridine (6e): Bishydroxyamide 6b (500 mg, 1.13 mmol), 1-naphthyl boronic acid (165.5 mg, 1.35 mmol) and  $P(o-Tolyl)$ <sub>3</sub> (5 mol%) were dissolved in DME (8 mL). CsF (2.5 equiv) was added and mixture was purged with argon.  $Pd(OAc)_{2}$  (5 mol%) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. After cooling to room temperature, water was added (25 mL) and the resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (50 mL $\times$ 2). The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by silca gel column chromatography to give  $6e$  as a white solid (450 mg, 0.85 mmol, 75%).  $R_f=0.26$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/methanol 100:6); m.p. 109–111 °C; [a]<sub>D</sub> = +14° (c=0.15) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.39 (unresolved d, 4H), 5.22 (unresolved ddd, J=7.5, 5.1 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (tt, J=7.1, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.43 (m, 10H), 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.70 (unresolved dd,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.90 (unresolved dd, J=7.9 Hz, 2H), 8.35 (s, 2H), 8.80 ppm (d, J= 7.5 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 55.9, 66.2, 124.6, 125.3, 126.1, 126.3, 126.8, 127.4, 127.8, 128.8, 128.6, 128.8, 129.6, 130.4, 133.8, 136.0, 139.4, 149.1, 152.2, 163.7 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 500 (100), 482 (38), 380 (36), 247 (31), 203 (17), 91 (14); FAB-MS: m/z: 532 [M] +; HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 532.22363; found: 532.22401.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-(1-naph**thyl)pyridine** (3e): Diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) (296.3  $\mu$ ), 364.1 mg, 2.2 mmol) was slowly added to a solution of bishydroxyamide 6e (400 mg, 0.75 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8 mL) at  $-20$ <sup>o</sup>C under argon. After 8 h stirring at  $-20^{\circ}$ C, ammonium hydroxide solution (1 mL) was added slowly. Subsequently the cooling bath was removed and water (25 mL) was added. When the reaction mixture had reached room temperature, the two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 mL  $\times$  2). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to give  $3e$  as a white solid (130 mg, 0.26 mmol, 40%).  $R_f = 0.45$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/methanol 100:6); m.p. 198–200<sup>°</sup>C:  $[\alpha]_D = +3.8$ ° (c=0.35 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 4.21$  $(dd, J=8.5, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.94 (dd, J=10.3, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.48 (dd, J=10.3,$ 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.39 (m, 10H), 7.49–7.59 (m, 4H), 7.83–7.85 (m, 1H), 7.94–7.97 (m, 2H), 8.43 ppm (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 69.6, 74.7, 124.0, 124.6, 125.5, 126.0, 126.2, 126.5, 126.6, 126.9, 127.8, 127.9, 128.6, 129.8, 133.0, 135.28, 141.3, 146.2, 149.7, 162.7 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/z$  (%): 495 (100)  $[M]^+, 203$  (69),104 (27), 91(50); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{33}H_{25}N_3O_2$ : 495.19467; found: 495.19453.

Dimethyl pyridine-2,6-dicarboximidate 9:<sup>[26c]</sup> Pyridine-2,6-carbodinitrile (5.35 g, 41.5 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous MeOH (100 mL) under Ar. Na  $(120 \text{ mg}, 5.2 \text{ mmol})$  was then added. After stirring for 40 h at room temperature, HOAc  $(300 \mu L, 5.25 \text{ mmol})$  was added, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Compound 9 was obtained as a yellow powder (8.5 g, 100%) and was used directly.

General procedure A for pybox(azine) ligand synthesis: Compound 9 (1.044 g, 5.4 mmol), amino alcohol (10.8 mmol), and anhydrous dichloromethane (20 mL) were stirred at  $40-50$ °C in a pressure tube under Ar for 48 h. The reaction mixture was then washed with water and the organic layer was separated, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness. The product was then subjected to chromatography on silica gel with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (98:2) as the eluent or recrystallized from EtOAc.

For the pyboxazine ligands, the solvent of the reaction mixture was first removed under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was subjected to chromatography under Ar over alumina by using  $CH_2Cl_2/Et_3N$  (100:1) as the eluent (alumina was dried at  $200^{\circ}$ C under high vacuum; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was dried over  $K_2CO_3$ ).

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(5S)-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine (3h): By general procedure  $A$  from compounds  $8$  and  $9$ ,  $3h$  was obtained in 35% after recrystallization from EtOAc.  $R_f=0.1-0.2$  (EtOAc); m.p. 179.5–180.5°C;  $[\alpha]_D = +252$ ° ( $c = 0.61$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.01–4.11 (dd, J = 15.5, 8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (dd, J = 15.5,

10.3 Hz, 2H), 5.74 (dd,  $J=10.3$ , 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.42 (m, 10H), 7.92 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.20 ppm (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 63.0, 82.0, 125.8, 126.1, 128.5, 128.8, 137.4, 140.7, 146.9,$ 162.6 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/z$  (%): 370 (27)  $[M+1]^+$ , 369 (96)  $[M]^+$ , 105 (23), 104 (100), 91 (35); HRMS:  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 369.14774; found: 369.14386; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C 74.78, H 5.18, N 11.37; found: C 74.61, H 5.12, N 11.46. (S)-2-Chlorophenyl glycinol (10): (S)-2-Chlorophenylglycine (2.5 gm, 13.4 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.26 gm, 33.5 mmol) in THF (15 mL). The mixture was immersed in an ice bath and solution of conc.  $H_2SO_4$  (1 mL) in Et<sub>2</sub>O was added dropwise, stirring was continued at room temperature for overnight. Methanol (15 mL) and NaOH (5n 70 mL) were successively added. After removal of organic solvents, the aqueous solution was refluxed for two hours and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL $\times$ 3) after cooling. The residue was purified by crystallization in ethyl acetate and hexane to give 34 (850 mg, 40%). M.p. 63–64 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = +40.1$ ° ( $c = 0.5$  in EtOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.54 (dd, J = 10.7, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (dd, J = 10.7, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.48  $(dd, J=10.7, 3.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.15 (ddd,  $J=8.9, 7.7, 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.24 (ddd,  $J=8.9, 7.8, 1.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.30 (dd,  $J=7.8, 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.45 ppm (dd,  $J=$ 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 54.6, 65.6, 127.1, 127.4, 128.5, 129.7, 132.9, 139.3 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 140 (100), 77 (38); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_8H_{10}CINO$ : 172.05292; found: 172.05243.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(2-chlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine  $(3k)$ : By general procedure A from compounds 10 and 9 (281 mg, 1.45 mmol),  $3k$  was obtained as a white solid (520 mg, 1.18 mmol, 81%).  $R_f=0.34$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/methanol 100:5); m.p. 199-200 °C; [a]<sub>D</sub> = + 89.1° (c= 0.5 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 4.19$  (t,  $J = 8.7$  Hz, 2H), 4.99 (dd, J=10.4, 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.73 (dd, J=10.4, 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.13– 7.21 (m, 4H), 7.30–7.37 (m, 4H), 7.90 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d,  $J=$ 7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 67.8, 75.4, 126.8, 127.6,$ 128.2, 129.2, 129.7, 132.7, 138.1, 140.4, 147.1, 164.6 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 437 (52) [M]<sup>+</sup>, 253 (31), 138 (72), 89 (100); HRMS (ESI+): m/ z calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}Cl_2N_3O_2$ : 437.06979; found: 437.06540.

 $(S)$ -1-Amino-1-phenyl-2-methylpropan-2-ol  $(11)$ :<sup>[43]</sup> In a 100 mL round bottomed flask with reflux condenser and CaCl<sub>2</sub> drying tube, MeI (2.6 mL, 42 mmol) was added dropwise to the magnesium (1 g, 42 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether  $(-20 \text{ mL})$ . The Grignard reagent was decanted under an argon atmosphere. (S)-Phenylglycine methyl ester hydrochloride (700 mg, 3.47 mmol) was added with care to this solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The reaction mixture was then quenched with acetone. Ammonia solution (25%, 10 mL) and  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  solution (20 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 mL  $\times$  2). The ether extract was further extracted with 5% HCl (30 mL $\times$ 2). NaOH (4 g) was added to this solution and it was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (30 mL $\times$ 2). The organic layer was dried over  $K_2CO_2$ . After removal of solvent, an oil was obtained that crystallized on standing. The crude product was then subjected to chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc/MeOH/Et<sub>3</sub>N (50:50:2) as the eluent. Compound  $11$ was obtained in 43% yield. M.p. 47-50°C;  $[a]_D = +25$ ° (c=1.21 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.03 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 2.98–3.21 (br s, 3H), 3.82–3.95 (s, 1H), 7.20–7.34 ppm (m, 5H); 13C NMR  $(100.6 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 24.3, 27.7, 65.8, 72.2, 127.6, 127.9, 128.2,$ 140.6 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 133 (3), 107 (27), 106 (100).

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-5,5-dimethyl-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl] pyridine (3l): By general procedure A from compounds 11 and 9, 3l was obtained as a white solid in 25% yield.  $R_f=0.7$  (EtOAc); m.p. 137– 138 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.94 (s, 6H), 1.64 (s, 6H), 5.03 (s, 2H), 7.18–7.30 (m, 10H), 7.88 (m, 1H), 8.24 ppm (m, 2H); 13C NMR  $(100.6 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ .  $\delta = 23.9, 29.2, 78.2, 89.2, 126.2, 127.2, 127.7, 128.3,$ 137.4, 138.0, 147.2, 162.8 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/z$  (%): 425 (23)  $[M]^+,$ 367 (36), 132 (100), 131 (54); HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd for  $C_{27}H_{27}N_3O_2 + H^+$ : 426.21814; found: 426.21861.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-methyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine (3m): By the general procedure  $A$ , from  $(S)$ - $(+)$ -2-amino-1-propanol and compound 9, 3m was obtained as a white solid in 53% yield.  $R_f=0.1-0.2$  $(CH_2Cl_2/Et_3N$  99:1); m.p. 163.0–165.5°C;  $[\alpha]_D = -131.8$ °  $(c=0.72 \text{ in}$ 

### Homogeneous Catalysis **EULL PAPER**

CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.36 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 6 H); 4.06 (dd,  $J=8.1$  Hz, 2H), 4.38–4.47 (m, 2H), 4.60 (dd,  $J=9.5$ , 8,1 Hz, 2H), 7.85 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.16 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 21.8, 62.7, 75.1, 126.0, 137.7, 147.3, 162.7 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 245 (77) [M] <sup>+</sup>, 230 (100), 202 (42), 131 (33), 104 (89), 102 (56); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_2.0.25H_2O$ : C 62.51, H 6.25, N 16.82; found: C 62.74, H 6.21, N 17.09.

General procedure for 3-amino-propan-1-ol synthesis and resolution: β-Amino acids  $12$ ,  $[26c, 45]$   $\beta$ -amino acid methyl ester  $14^{[27]}$  were synthesized according to literature procedures. Compound 14a was resolved by cocrystallization with  $D-$  or *L*-tartaric acids.<sup>[26c]</sup> Compounds **14b** and **14c** were enzymatically resolved by Amano Lipase PS (Aldrich).<sup>[28a]</sup> 3-Amino-propan-1-ols 15 were obtained by reduction of the methyl ester **14** with NaBH<sub>4</sub><sup>[26c]</sup> or the acid **12** directly with LiAlH<sub>4</sub>.<sup>[53]</sup>

Methyl  $(R)$ -3-amino-3-phenylpropanoate  $((R)$ -14a): HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H, hexane/EtOH 98:2, flow rate 1.0 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>) > 99% ee;  $[a]_D =$ +21.3°  $(c=1 \text{ in CHCl}_3)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.69 (brs, 2H), 2.63 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 4.38 (dd, J=8.2, 5.6 Hz 1H), 7.23–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.38 ppm (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 44.1, 51.5, 52.7, 126.2, 127.2, 128.5, 145.2, 172.3 ppm.

(R)-3-Amino-3-phenylpropan-1-ol  $((R)$ -15a): HPLC (Crownpak CR(+), HClO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2), 10<sup>o</sup>C, flow rate 0.5 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>) 98% ee; [a]<sub>D</sub> = +24.2<sup>o</sup> (c= 0.5 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.82–1.87 (m, 2H), 2.49 (brs, 3H), 3.69–3.80 (m, 2H), 4.09 (dd,  $J=8.0$ , 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.22– 7.26 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.37 ppm (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 39.9, 56.5, 62.1, 125.8, 127.0, 128.6, 146.8 ppm.

Methyl (S)-3-amino-3-phenylpropanoate ((S)-14a): HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H, hexane/EtOH 98:2, flow rate 1.0 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>) 100% ee;  $[a]_D =$  $-21.7$ ° (c=1 in CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$ =1.70 (brs, 2H), 2.63 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (d, J=5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 4.38 (dd,  $J=8.2$ , 5.6 Hz 1H), 7.23–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.38 ppm (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 44.1, 51.5, 52.7, 126.2, 127.2, 128.5, 145.2, 172.3 ppm.

 $(S)$ -3-Amino-3-phenylpropan-1-ol  $((S)$ -15a): HPLC (Crownpak CR $(+)$ ), HClO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2), 10<sup>o</sup>C, flow rate 0.5 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>) 100% ee; [a]<sub>D</sub>=-22.5<sup>o</sup> (c= 0.5 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>);<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.81–1.88 (m, 2H), 2.53 (br s, 3H), 3.69–3.80 (m, 2H), 4.10 (dd, J=8.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.22– 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.37 ppm (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 40.0, 56.5, 62.1, 125.8, 127.0, 128.6, 146.8 ppm.

Resolution of methyl rac-3-amino-3-(1-naphthyl)propanoate 14b: An appropriate quantity of freshly prepared sodium hydroxide solution (2n,  $~\sim$  47 mL) was added to a solution of potassium dihydrogen phosphate  $(KH_2PO_4; 0.5M, 200mL)$ , until the pH value was adjusted to 8.2. rac-14b (4 g) and Amano Lipase PS (1.8 g) were stirred in this phosphate buffer  $(120 \text{ mL})$  at room temperature for 24 h. The product was then filtered and washed with H2O and dried over KOH under high vacuum. The powdery product was then stirred with EtOAc (50 mL) and filtered. After removal of solvent,  $(R)$ -14**b** was obtained in 45% (1.8 g). The residue was dissolved in NaOH solution  $(2N)$  and filtered. The filtrate was acidified to pH 6 and the product precipitated. After filtration, washed with  $H_2O$  and dried over KOH under high vacuum,  $(S)$ -12b was obtained in  $43-48\%$   $(1.6-1.8)$  g).

Methyl (R)-3-amino-3-(1-naphthyl)propanoate ((R)-14b): M.p. 57-59 °C; HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H, hexane/EtOH  $95:5$ , flow rate 1.0 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>)  $> 98\%$  ee; [a]<sub>D</sub>=+48.1° (c=0.5 in MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.85 (br s, 2H), 2.68 (dd, J = 15.9, 9.7 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (dd, J = 15.9, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 5.24 (dd, J=9.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.57 (m, 3H), 7.68 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J= 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.18 ppm (d, J=8.3 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 43.4, 48.1, 51.6, 122.6, 122.9, 125.6, 125.6, 126.2, 127.7, 129.0, 130.6, 134.0, 140.7, 172.6 ppm.

(S)-3-Amino-3-(1-naphthyl)propanoic acid  $((S)$ -12b): M.p. 227-229 °C; HPLC (Crownpak CR(+), HClO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2)/MeOH 90:10, flow rate 1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) 100% ee; [a]<sub>D</sub> = -24.1° (c=1 in 0.1 N HCl), [a]<sub>D</sub> = -49.5°  $(c=1$  in 0.5 N NaOH).

 $(R)$ -3-Amino-3-(1-naphthyl)propan-1-ol  $((R)$ -15b): M.p. 42–45 °C; HPLC (Crownpak CR(-), HClO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2)/MeOH 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>) 100% ee;  $[\alpha]_D = +50.8$ ° (c=1 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$ =1.90–1.99 (m, 1H), 2.02–2.09 (m, 1H), 2.73 (brs, 3H), 3.79 (ddd, J= 10.9, 5.8, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (ddd,  $J=10.9$ , 8.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (dd,  $J=$ 9.0, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.57 (m, 3H), 7.60 (d,  $J=7.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d,  $J=$ 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.09 ppm (d, J=8.3 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 39.2, 52.0, 62.3, 121.9, 122.7, 125.6, 126.2, 127.4, 129.0, 130.4, 134.0, 142.2 ppm.

(S)-3-Amino-3-(1-naphthyl)propan-1-ol ((S)-15 b): HPLC (Crownpak  $CR(+)$ , HClO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2)/MeOH 95:5, flow rate 1.0 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>) > 99% ee.

Resolution of methyl rac-3-amino-3-(2-naphthyl)propanoate 14c: An appropriate quantity of freshly prepared sodium hydroxide solution (2n,  $\sim$  47 mL) was added to a solution of potassium dihydrogen phosphate  $(KH_2PO_4; 0.5M, 200mL)$ , until the pH value was adjusted to 8.2. rac-14c (4 g) and Amano Lipase PS (2 g) were stirred in this phosphate buffer (120 mL) at 36 $^{\circ}$ C for 96 h. The product was then filtered and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and dried over KOH under high vacuum. The powdery product was then stirred with EtOAc (50 mL) and filtered. After removal of solvent,  $(R)$ -14c was obtained in 35% (1.4 g). The residue was dissolved in NaOH solution  $(2n)$  and filtered. The filtrate was acidified to pH 6 and the product precipitated. After filtration, washed with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  and dried over KOH under high vacuum,  $(S)$ -12c was obtained in 45% (1.7 g).

Methyl  $(R)$ -3-amino-3-(2-naphthyl)propanoate  $((R)$ -14c): HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H, hexane/EtOH 99:1, flow rate  $1.0 \text{ mLmin}^{-1}$ ) 95% ee.

 $(S)$ -3-Amino-3-(2-naphthyl)propanoic acid  $((S)$ -12c): M.p. 227–229 °C; HPLC (Crownpak CR(+), HClO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2)/MeOH 90:10, 40 $\degree$ C, flow rate  $1.0 \text{ mLmin}^{-1}$ ) 44% ee.

Methyl (R)-3-amino-3-(2-naphthyl)propanoate ((R)-14c): Gaseous HCl was purged for 2 h into the suspension of  $(R)$ -12 $c$  (5 g, 23 mmol, Pep-Tech) in anhydrous MeOH (120 mL) at 0°C. The product was dissolved in MeOH. After removal of solvent and dried over KOH under high vacuum,  $(R)$ -13c was obtained in 97% (6 g). It was further neutralized with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in EtOAc to give (R)-14c in 94% (4.85 g). M.p. 77–79 °C;  $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -5.8^{\circ}$  (c=1 in MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 1.75$ (br s, 2H), 2.69 (dd, J=15.9, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dd, J=15.9, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 4.57 (unresolved dd, 1H), 7.44–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.82–7.84 ppm (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 44.1, 51.5, 52.8, 124.7, 124.8, 125.8, 126.1, 127.6, 127.8, 128.2, 132.9, 133.5, 135.8, 172.3 ppm; elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{14}H_{16}NO_2$ : C 73.34, H 6.59, N 6.11; found: C 73.26, H 6.74, N 6.00.

 $(R)$ -3-Amino-3-(2-naphthyl)propan-1-ol  $((R)$ -15c): M.p. 68–69 °C; HPLC (Crownpak CR(-), HClO<sub>4</sub> (pH 2)/MeOH 90:10, flow rate 0.5 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>)  $> 99\%$  ee;  $[a]_D = +17.3$ °  $(c=1 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ :  $\delta = 1.92-1.97$  (m, 2H), 2.59 (brs, 3H), 3.73-3.84 (m, 2H), 4.28 (unresolved dd, 1H), 7.44–7.51 (m, 3H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.83–7.85 ppm (m, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 39.9, 56.5, 62.1, 124.0, 124.5, 125.7, 126.2, 127.6, 127.8, 128.3, 132.7, 133.5, 144.1 ppm; elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{13}H_{15}NO$ : C 77.58, H 7.51, N 6.96; found: C 77.46, H 7.55, N 6.69.

(S)-3-Amino-3-(4-chlorphenyl)propan-1-ol  $((S)$ -15d):  $R_f = 0.45$  (EtOAc/ MeOH/Et<sub>3</sub>N 49:49:2); m.p. 53-56 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = -17.6$ ° ( $c = 0.97$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.79–1.85 (m, 2H), 2.32 (brs, 3H), 3.67–3.77 (m, 2H), 4.10 (t,  $J=6.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.34 ppm (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 40.3, 56.0, 62.1, 127.7, 128.9, 132.7, 145.6 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/z$  (%): 185 (1)  $[M]^+, 184, (1) [M-1]^+, 142$ (32), 140 (100); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClNO: 185.06075; found: 185.06103.

(S)-3-Amino-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propan-1-ol  $(G)$ -15 e):  $R_f=0.3$ (EtOAc/MeOH/Et<sub>3</sub>N 49:49:2); m.p. 70.5–73.5°C;  $[\alpha]_D = -17.9$ ° ( $c = 0.43$ ) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.80–1.96 (m, J = 8.92, 4.16 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (br s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.77–3.81 (m, 2H), 4.10 (dd,  $J=8.92, 4.16$  Hz, 1H), 6.87 (m,  $J=8.72$  Hz, 2H), 7.22 ppm (m,  $J=$ 8.72 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 39.2, 55.3, 56.0, 62.2, 114.0, 126.9, 135.7, 158.7 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/z$  (%): 181 (2)  $[M]^+,$ 180 (2)  $[M-1]^+, 137$  (32), 136 (100), 109 (48); elemental analysis calcd

### <u>GHEMISTRY</u>

#### A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

(%) for  $C_{10}H_{15}NO_2$ : C 66.27, H 8.34, N 7.73; found: C 66.57, H 8.15, N 7.67.

(R)-3-Amino-4-methyl-pentan-1-ol ((R)-15 f): M.p. 70.5–73.5 °C;  $[a]_D =$  $+19.8$ ° (c=0.97 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =0.83 (d, J=5.4 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (d, J=5.2 Hz, 3H), 1.37–1.58 (m, 3H), 2.65 (ddd,  $J=10.5, 5.0, 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.94 (brs, 3H), 3.71–3.81 ppm (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 17.3, 18.6, 34.3, 34.6, 58.5, 63.1 ppm; MS (CI, Isobutane): m/z (%): 119 (8) [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, 118 (100) [M+1]<sup>+</sup>. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 74 (100), 72 (28), 56 (34), 44 (48).

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-phenyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine  $((R)-4a)$ : By general procedure **A** from  $(R)$ -15a and 9,  $(R)$ -4a was obtained as white needles in 52% yield.  $R_f=0.8$  (alumina, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>3</sub>N 98:2); m.p. 114.0–116.5°C;  $[a]_D = +28.3$ °  $(c=0.79 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.91–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.31–2.39 (m, 2H), 4.44– 4.52 (m, 4H), 4.80 (dd, J=8.3, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.24–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 8H), 7.83 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.22 ppm (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR  $(100.6 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2): \delta = 30.4, 55.6, 64.7, 124.5, 127.0, 127.1, 128.7, 137.1,$ 144.6, 151.5, 155.1 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 398 (9) [M+1]<sup>+</sup>, 397 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, 30), 264 (11), 239 (18), 238 (100), 209 (20), 132 (29), 117 (31), 104 (31), 77 (44); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{25}H_{23}N_3O_2$ : 397.17902; found: 397.17968; elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{25}H_{23}N_3O_2$ : C 75.54. H 5.83, N 10.57; found: C 76.04, H 5.79, N 10.57.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine ((S)-4a): By general procedure A from (S)-15a and 9, (S)-4a was obtained as white needles in 52% yield.  $R_f=0.8$  (alumina, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>3</sub>N 98:2); m.p. 115.0–116.5°C;  $[a]_D = -29.1$ °  $(c=0.77 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2)$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.91–2.02 (m, 2H), 2.31–2.39 (m, 2H), 4.44– 4.52 (m, 4H), 4.80 (dd, J=8.3, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.24–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.40 (m, 8H), 7.82 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.22 ppm (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100.6 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2): \delta = 30.4, 55.6, 64.7, 124.5, 127.0, 127.1, 128.7, 137.1,$ 144.6, 151.5, 155.1 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 398 (15) [M+1]<sup>+</sup>, 397 (47) [M] <sup>+</sup>, 264 (13), 239 (22), 238 (100), 209 (25), 132 (32), 117 (32), 104 (31), 77 (40); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 397.17902; found: 397.18024.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-(1-naphthyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl] **pyridine** ((R)-4b): By General procedure A from  $(R)$ -15b and 9,  $(R)$ -4b was obtained as white needles in 68% yield.  $R_f=0.9$  (alumina,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/$ Et<sub>3</sub>N 98:2); m.p. 172.5-174.0 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = +111.1$ °  $(c=0.65 \text{ in CH}_2Cl_2);$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.04–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.49–2.60 (m, 2H), 4.45 (ddd,  $J=10.9, 6.3, 3.8$  Hz, 2H), 4.56 (ddd,  $J=11.3, 8.3, 3.0$  Hz, 2H), 5.62 (dd, J=6.7, 5.6 Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.60 (m, 8H), 7.81 (dd, J=7.9, 1.4, 2H), 7.88 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd,  $J=8.1$ , 1.4 Hz, 2H), 8.12 (d,  $J=$ 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.30 ppm (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 29.4, 52.4, 64.4, 123.2, 124.6, 125.0, 125.8, 126.4, 127.8, 129.3, 130.8, 134.3, 136.1, 137.3, 139.7, 151.6, 155.8 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z  $(\%)$ : 499 (4)  $[M+2]$ <sup>+</sup> 498 (18)  $[M+1]$ <sup>+</sup>, 497 (46)  $[M]$ <sup>+</sup>, 469 (18), 467 (13), 453 (14), 314 (12), 302 (24), 289 (21), 288 (100), 259 (31), 167 (73), 154 (45), 153 (39), 127 (34); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 497.21033; found: 497.21041.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(1-naphthyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl] **pyridine** ((S)-4b): By general procedure A from  $(S)$ -15b and 9,  $(S)$ -4b was obtained as white needles in 59% yield.  $R_f=0.9$  (alumina, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ Et<sub>3</sub>N 98:2); m.p. 171.0–174.5 °C;  $[\alpha]_D = +111.3$ °  $(c=0.67 \text{ in CH}_2Cl_2);$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.94–2.04 (m, 2H), 2.43–2.51 (m, 2H), 4.36 (ddd,  $J=10.9$ , 6.1, 3.8 Hz, 2H), 4.47 (ddd,  $J=10.9$ , 8.3, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 5.53 (dd, J=6.5, 5.6 Hz, 2H), 7.36–7.51 (m, 8H), 7.72 (d, J=7.53 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 8.22 ppm (d, 7.93 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 29.4, 52.4, 64.4, 123.2, 124.6, 125.0, 125.8, 126.4, 127.8, 129.3, 130.8, 134.3, 136.1, 137.3, 139.7, 151.6, 155.8 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 499 (5)  $[M+2]^+, 498 (16) [M+1]^+, 497 (9) [M]^+, 469 (18), 467 (14), 453 (14), 302$ (22), 289 (23), 288 (100), 259 (29), 167 (70), 154 (41), 153 (32), 127 (30); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{33}H_{27}N_3O_2$ : 497.21033; found: 497.21114; elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{33}H_{27}N_3O_2 \cdot H_2O$ : C 76.87, H 5.67, N 8.15; found: C 77.11, H 5.65, N 8.05.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-(2-naphthyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl] **pyridine**  $((R)-4c)$ : By general procedure A from  $(R)-15c$  and 9,  $(R)-4c$ was obtained as white needles in 81% yield.  $R_f=0.9$  (alumina, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ Et<sub>3</sub>N 98:2); m.p. >80 °C (glassy melting);  $[a]_D = +3.8$ ° (c=0.55 in  $CH_2Cl_2$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ ):  $\delta$  = 2.02–2.12 (m, 2H), 2.40–2.47  $(m, 2H)$ , 4.46–4.57  $(m, 4H)$ , 5.00  $(dd, J=8.1, 5.0 Hz, 2H)$ , 7.46–7.50  $(m,$ 4H), 7.52 (dd, J=8.5, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.80–7.91 (m, 9H), 8.31 ppm (d, J= 7.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 29.9, 55.3, 64.3, 124.3, 125.3, 125.3, 125.6, 126.1, 127.6, 127.9, 128.1, 132.6, 133.5, 136.9, 141.7, 151.3, 155.1 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 499 (5) [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, 498 (22)  $[M+1]^+, 497 (51) [M]^+, 289 (24), 288 (100), 259 (22), 182 (32), 168 (29),$ 167 (55); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{33}H_{27}N_3O_2$ : 497.21033; found: 497.21015; elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{33}H_{27}N_3O_2$ : C 79.66, H 5.47, N 8.44; found: C 79.74, H 5.48, N 8.50.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine  $((S)-4d)$ : By general procedure A from  $(S)-15d$  and 9,  $(S)-$ **4d** was obtained as white needles in 79% yield.  $R_f = 0.7$  (alumina, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>3</sub>N 98:2); m.p. 164–169 °C;  $[a]_D = -30.0$ ° (c=0.88 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.88–1.98 (m, 2H), 2.30–2.38 (m, 2H), 4.43–4.51 (m, 4H), 4.79 (dd, J=8.7, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.37 (m, 8H), 7.84 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.21 ppm (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 30.3, 55.0, 64.8, 124.6, 128.5, 128.7, 132.7, 136.1, 137.3, 143.2, 151.4, 155.3 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 468 (8) [M+3]<sup>+</sup>, 467 (23)  $[M+2]^+, 466$  (12)  $[M+1]^+, 465$  (30)  $[M]^+, 274$  (30), 273 (19), 272 (100), 103 (33); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: 465.10107; found: 465.09933; elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{25}H_{21}N_3O_2Cl_2$ : C 64.39, H 4.54, N 9.01, Cl 15.20; found: C 64.40, H 4.71, N 8.76, Cl 15.07.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine  $((S)-4e)$ : By general procedure A from compounds  $(S)$ -**15e** and **9**, (S)-**4e** was obtained as white needles in 26% yield.  $R_f=0.6$ (alumina, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Et<sub>3</sub>N 98:2); m.p. 164.5–166 °C; [a]<sub>D</sub> = -6.0° (c = 0.44 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.89–1.99 (m, 2H), 2.27–2.35 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 6H), 4.40–4.50 (m, 4H), 4.75 (dd, J=8.3, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 6.88–6.92 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.82 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.20 ppm (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 30.4, 55.0, 55.6, 64.7, 114.0, 124.4, 128.0, 136.7, 137.1, 151.5, 155.0, 158.9 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 459 (4) [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, 458 (21) [M+1]<sup>+</sup>, 457 (61) [M]<sup>+</sup>, 269 (18), 268 (100), 162 (53), 148 (58), 147 (85); MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 468 (8) [M+3]<sup>+</sup>, 467 (23) [M+2]<sup>+</sup>, 466 (12) [M+1]<sup>+</sup>, 465 (30) [M] <sup>+</sup>, 274 (30), 273 (19), 272 (100), 103 (33); HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd for  $C_{27}H_{27}N_3O_4$ : 457.20016; found: 457.20275; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C 70.88, H 5.95, N 9.18; found: C 70.38, H 6.06, N 8.86. Synthesis of 2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-isopropyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine  $((R)-4f)$ : By general procedure **A** from compounds  $(R)-15f$  and 9, (R)-4 f was obtained as white needles in 61% yield.  $R_f=0.7$  (alumina,  $CH_2Cl_2/Et_3N$  98:2); m.p. 90–95°C;  $[a]_D = +8.9$ °  $(c=0.62 \text{ in } CH_2Cl_2);$ <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 0.67$  (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.75 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.40–1.50 (m, 4H), 1.60–1.66 (ddt,  $J=13.7, 4.8, 2.8$  Hz, 2H), 2.95 (ddd,  $J=10.7$ , 6.3, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.93–4.01 (dddd,  $J=11.9$ , 10.7, 3.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 4.15–4.20 (dddd,  $J=10.7$ , 4.6, 2.8, 0.6 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (t,  $J=$ 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77 ppm (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 18.5, 18.7, 24.3, 33.9, 57.7, 65.3, 123.7, 136.5, 151.3,$ 153.5 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 329 (2) [M]<sup>+</sup>, 314 (2), 288 (14), 287 (80), 286 (100), 203 (46); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 329.21033; found: 329.21016; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C 69.27, H 8.26, N 12.76; found: C 69.15, H 8.12, N 12.46. Synthesis of 4-substituted 2,6-pyridine dicarboxylic acids 5: 4-Substituted 2,6-pyridine dicarboxylic acids  $5a-e$  were synthesized by literature methods.[32] 5 f was synthesized as follows:

Compound 18 (360 mg, 1.57 mmol), phenyl boronic acid (230 mg, 1.88 mmol) and  $P(o-Tolyl)$ <sub>3</sub> (5 mol%) were dissolved in DME (8 mL). CsF (2.5 equiv) was added and mixture was purged with argon.  $Pd(OAc)_{2}$ (5 mol%) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. After cooling to room temperature, water was added and the resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (50 mL $\times$ 2). The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The residue was purified by silca gel column chromatography to give ester 19 as a white solid (220 mg, 52%). Potassium carbonate  $(0.5 \text{ g})$  was added to a solution of 19 (150 mg, 0.55 mmol) in methanol and water (2:1, 7.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature and was then poured onto a mixture of ice and conc. HCl. A white solid precipitated, which after filtration and drying

gave 5f (115 mg, 86%). M.p. 218–220 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz,  $[D_6]$ DMSO):  $\delta$  = 7.75 (m, 3H), 7.85 (dd, J = 1.8, 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.54 ppm (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz,  $[D_6]$ DMSO):  $\delta$  = 124.9, 127.5, 129.8, 130.4, 136.1, 149.5, 150.3, 165.9 ppm; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/z$  (%): 243 (43)  $[M]^+,$ 199 (100), 181 (78), 153 (46), 126 (39), 77 (29).

General procedure B for the synthesis of (pybox)(pydic)ruthenium 1 or (pyboxazine)(pydic)ruthenium 2: The synthesis of  $Ru[2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-(2$ naphthyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)  $(R)$ - $(2ca)$  is taken as an example.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-(2-naphthyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl] pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium ((R)-2 ca): Disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (Na<sub>2</sub>pydic) (64 mg, 0.30 mmol) in MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1 (2 mL) was added via a cannula to a solution of  $(R)$ -4a (150 mg, 0.30 mmol) and  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (92 mg, 0.15 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) under Ar. The whole reaction mixture was heated to  $65^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and washed with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (30 mL). The deep orange organic layer was dried over MgSO4, filtered, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The reaction mixture was then subjected to chromatography over silica gel (70–230 mesh) with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:2 to CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5 as the gradient eluent. After removal of solvent under reduced pressure, the product was recrystallized over  $CH_2Cl<sub>2</sub>/n$ -hexane to give a brown solid (138 mg, 60%).  $R_f = 0.09$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.95 - 2.02$  (m, 2H), 2.22–2.30, (m, 2H), 3.88–3.90 (m, 2H), 4.39–4.51 (m, 4H), 6.57–6.62 (m, 3H), 6.72–6.75 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.41 (m, 6H), 7.53 (d,  $J=8.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H), 8.10 ppm (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ = 30.7, 58.2, 63.6, 123.5, 124.2, 124.4, 125.2, 125.3, 126.0, 127.7, 127.8, 128.8, 132.3, 132.4, 132.6, 135.7, 136.7, 149.1, 152.0, 160.5, 171.4 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 764 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 384 (3.45), 484 nm (4.27); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{40}H_{30}N_4O_6Ru \cdot H_2O$ : C 61.45, H 4.12, N 7.17; found: C 61.19, H 4.09, N 6.81.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 aa): From general procedure B by using 3a (500 mg, 1.35 mmol), [{Ru(p-cymene)Cl<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>] (414 mg, 0.68 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (286 mg, 1.35 mmol), a brown solid of 1aa (665 mg, 78%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.08$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.59–4.68 (m, 4H), 5.15–5.21  $(m, 2H)$ , 6.68 (d,  $J=6.8$  Hz, 4H), 7.02–7.05 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.14 (t,  $J=7.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.54 (m, 3H), 7.65 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.95 ppm (d,  $J=$ 7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 67.8$ , 78.5, 124.0, 125.9, 127.3, 128.6, 129.1, 133.7, 135.6, 136.0, 147.1, 149.2, 167.4, 171.1 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 636 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 375 (3.36), 484 nm (4.47); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{22}N_{4}O_{6}Ru \cdot 0.5 CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ : C 54.03, H 3.42, N 8.26; found: C 54.37, H 3.79, N 8.50.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(4 hydroxypyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ab): From General procedure **B** by using 3a (185 mg, 0.50 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}$ (153 mg, 0.25 mmol),  $5b$  (101 mg, 0.50 mmol), and NaOH (40 mg, 1.0 mmol), a brown solid of **1ab** (280 mg, 86%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.19$  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  10:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 4.82–4.87 (m, 4H), 5.38–5.40 (m, 2H), 6.77 (d,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 4H), 7.05 (s, 2H), 7.10 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.21 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.92 (t,  $J=6.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.09 ppm (d, J=6.9 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$ =69.2, 80.1, 116.2, 126.6, 128.5, 128.9, 129.9, 130.3, 138.6, 149.4, 150.8, 166.7, 170.6, 173.1 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 652 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  $(\log \epsilon)$  = 359 (3.53), 472 nm (4.24); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{22}N_4O_7Ru \cdot CH_3OH$ : C 54.46, H 3.83, N 8.20; found: C 54.35, H 4.16, N 7.96.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(4 chloropyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ac): From general procedure **B** by using 3a (185 mg, 0.50 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]}$  (153 mg, 0.25 mmol), 5c (101 mg, 0.50 mmol), and NaOH (40 mg, 1.0 mmol), a deep green crystalline solid of 1 ac (252 mg, 75%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.11$ (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 4.46 (dd, J = 9.5, 11.3 Hz, 2H), 4.77 (dd,  $J=8.5$ , 11.3 Hz, 2H), 5.39 (dd,  $J=8.5$ , 9.5 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 4H), 7.10 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.25 (t, J=

7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (s, 2H), 8.02 (t,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.30 ppm (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 69.6, 80.3, 126.2, 128.4, 129.1, 130.0, 130.4, 131.1, 138.1, 142.8, 149.6, 151.9, 169.4, 172.8 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 670 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 383 (3.54), 484 nm (4.30); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{21}CIN_4O_6Ru$ : C 53.78, H 3.16, N 8.36; found: C 53.51, H 3.54, N 8.23.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(4 bromopyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ad): From general procedure **B** by using 3a (150 mg, 0.41 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (124 mg, 0.20 mmol), 5 d (100 mg, 0.41 mmol), and NaOH (33 mg, 0.81 mmol), a green solid of 1ad (251 mg, 86%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.19$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.65 (m, 4H), 5.15–5.22 (m, 2H), 6.71 (d,  $J=6.2$  Hz, 4H), 7.09 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.21 (t,  $J=$ 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (s, 2H), 7.68 (t,  $J=7.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 ppm (d,  $J=7.5$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 68.0, 78.4, 124.1, 126.6, 127.4, 128.7, 129.1, 135.7, 147.2, 149.9, 167.3, 169.8 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z: 714 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 716 [M+2]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 384 (3.59), 484 nm (4.33); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{21}BrN_4O_6Ru \cdot CH_3OH$ : C 49.88, H 3.38, N 7.50; found: C 49.56, H 3.26, N 7.79.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(4 methoxypyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ae): From general procedure **B** by using 3a (105 mg, 0.28 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (87 mg, 0.14 mmol), 5 e (56 mg, 0.28 mmol), and NaOH (23 mg, 0.56 mmol), a green solid of 1ae (98 mg, 53%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.29$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.93 (s, 3H), 4.57–4.65 (m, 4H), 5.11–5.12 (m, 2H), 6.70 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.04–7.07 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.12–7.17 (m, 4H), 7.60 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.93 ppm (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 56.3, 67.7, 78.4, 112.4, 124.1, 124.9, 127.3, 128.6, 136.2, 147.7, 149.8, 166.0, 167.7, 170.8 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 666 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 366 (3.56), 483 (4.25); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{22}N_4O_7Ru 0.5CH_2Cl_2$ : C 53.43, H 3.56, N 7.91; found: C 53.07, H 3.76, N 8.03.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(4 phenylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 af): From general procedure **B** by using 3a (144 mg, 0.39 mmol),  $[{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2}]_2]$  (119 mg, 0.20 mmol), 5 f (95 mg, 0.39 mmol), and NaOH (31 mg, 0.78 mmol), a green solid of 1af (272 mg, 98%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.12$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.60–4.70 (m, 4H), 5.17 (m, 2H), 6.71 (d,  $J=6.7$  Hz, 4H), 7.00 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.14–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.51 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.65 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 7.96 ppm (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 67.9, 78.3, 123.6, 123.9, 125.8, 126.7, 127.4,$ 128.4, 128.9, 129.4, 129.4, 135.9, 137.5, 146.6, 147.0, 149.2, 167.3, 171.1 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 712 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 394 (3.63), 488 nm (4.34); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C36H26N4O6Ru·H2O: C 59.26, H 3.87, N 7.68; found: C 59.096, H 3.38, N 7.68.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-chloropyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1ba): From general procedure **B** by using 3b (100 mg, 0.25 mmol),  $[\text{Ru}(p\text{-cymene})\text{Cl}_2]_2]$  (76 mg, 0.13 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (52 mg, 0.25 mmol), a green solid of 1ba (88 mg, 53%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.15$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 4.60-4.69$  (m, 4H), 5.17–5.18 (m, 2H), 6.66 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 4H), 7.03 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.14 (t,  $J=7.1$  Hz, 2H), 7.54 (m, 3H), 7.95 ppm (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100.6 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 68.0, 78.7, 124.0, 126.0, 127.3, 128.7, 129.1, 132.5,$ 134.2, 135.7, 147.4, 149.0, 166.8, 170.9 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 670 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 371 (3.48), 493 nm (4.29); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{21}N_4O_6Ru \cdot CH_2Cl_2$ : C 51.41, H 3.11, N 7.86; found: C 51.08, H 3.10, N 7.93.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ca): From general procedure **B** by using  $3c$  (70 mg, 0.17 mmol), [{Ru(p-cymene) $Cl<sub>2</sub>$ ] (52 mg, 0.085 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (36 mg, 0.17 mmol), a green crystalline solid of  $1ca$  (74 mg, 64%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.05$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.36 (s, 6H), 4.55 (dd, J = 8.8, 11.0 Hz, 2H), 4.70 (unresolved dd, 2H), 5.11 (dd, J=8.8, 9.8 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.00 (unresolved

Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1855 – 1874 © 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> –––1869

dd, 4H), 7.10 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (brs, 2H), 7.33–7.36 (m, 1H), 7.42– 7.44 ppm (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 40.1, 68.0, 78.2, 106.4, 125.6, 127.1, 128.3, 128.8, 131.2, 136.2, 147.1, 150.8, 150.9, 166.6, 172.0 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 679 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 388 (3.64), 505 nm (4.33); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>102</sup>Ru+ H<sup>+</sup>: 679.10880; found: 679.10046.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-phenylpyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 da): From general procedure **B** by using 3d (69 mg, 0.15 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})\text{Cl}_2\}]$  (47 mg, 0.077 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (33 mg, 0.15 mmol), a brown solid of **1 da** (50 mg, 47%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.20$  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 4.59-4.68$  (m, 4H), 5.16–5.19 (m, 2H), 6.67 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.03 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.14 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.60 (m, 6H), 7.84 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H), 8.19 ppm (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 67.8$ , 78.5, 121.9, 126.0, 127.0, 127.2, 128.6, 129.1, 129.3, 129.6, 133.7, 136.0, 137.4, 139.6, 147.1, 149.3, 167.5, 171.2 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 712 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 345 (3.62), 495 nm (4.23); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$ calcd for  $C_{36}H_{26}N_4O_6^{102}Ru$ : 712.09618; found: 712.08960.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-(1-naphthylpyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ea): From general procedure **B** by using  $3e$  (46 mg, 0.093 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$ (28 mg, 0.046 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (20 mg, 0.093 mmol), a green crystalline solid of 1ea (44 mg, 62%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.17$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 4.62-$ 4.74 (m, 4H), 5.17–5.19 (m, 2H), 6.72 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 4H), 7.07 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.17 (t,  $J=6.7$  Hz, 2H), 7.58–7.65 (m, 7H), 7.99–8.01 (m, 2H), 8.03–8.04 (m, 1H), 8.13 ppm (s, 2H); 13C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 67.9, 78.6, 124.8, 125.4, 125.5, 126.1, 126.5, 127.3, 127.7, 128.7, 128.8, 129.2, 129.6, 130.9, 133.8, 133.9, 135.7, 136.1, 136.4, 139.5, 146.9, 149.3, 167.5, 172.2 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 763 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  $(\log \epsilon) = 364$  (sh; 3.65), 492 nm (4.39); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{40}H_{28}N_4O_6Ru$  0.5 H<sub>2</sub>O: C 62.33, H 3.79, N 7.27; found: C 62.36, H 3.73 N 7.35.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S,5R)-8,8a-dihydro-3aH-indeno[1,2-d]oxazolyl] pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 fa): From general procedure **B** by using 3f (200 mg, 0.51 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-symene})Cl_2\}]\$ (156 mg, 0.25 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (107 mg, 0.57 mmol), a deep red solid of **1 fa** was obtained (290 mg, 86%).  $R_f$ = 0.11 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 3.37$  (dd,  $J=2.2$ , 18.2 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (dd,  $J=7.3$ , 18.2 Hz, 2H), 4.99 (d,  $J=8.1$  Hz, 2H), 5.83–5.87 (m, 2H), 5.95 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.00–7.03 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.18 (m, 4H), 7.59 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H), 8.31 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.57 ppm (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl3): d=73.7, 78.6, 87.7, 116.5, 124.2, 125.1, 125.3, 127.5, 127.9, 129.7, 135.1, 136.8, 139.2, 147.4, 152.1, 171.3, 192.7 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z: 660 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 383 (3.62), 484 nm (4.39); HRMS (ESI +):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{36}H_{23}N_4O_6^{102}Ru$ : 661.06538; found: 661.06610.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-(2-naphthyl)-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]-4-(1 naphthyl-pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ga): From general procedure **B** by using  $3g$  (235 mg, 0.50 mmol), [{Ru(p-cymene)Cl2}2] (153 mg, 0.25 mmol), and disodium pyridine-dicarboxylate (106 mg, 0.50 mmol), a green solid of  $1ga$  (236 mg, 64%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.31$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 10:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 4.69$ (unresolved dd, 2H), 4.83 (unresolved dd, 2H), 5.19 (unresolved dd, 2H), 6.41 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (m, 4H), 7.31– 7.34 (m, 4H), 7.39–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.65 (m, 3H), 7.75 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.95 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ = 68.4, 77.6, 123.5, 123.9, 124.3, 125.6, 126.1, 126.5, 127.4, 127.7, 127.8, 129.7, 132.4, 132.5, 133.0, 133.3, 147.0, 148.5, 167.4, 171.0 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 736 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 369 (3.67), 486 nm (4.27); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{38}H_{26}N_4O_6Ru \cdot H_2O$ : C 60.55, H 3.74, N 7.43; found: C 60.49, H 3.85, N 7.30.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(5S)-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ha): From general procedure B by using 3h (200 mg, 0.54 mmol),  $[{\rm Ru}(p$ -cymene) $Cl_2]_2]$  (166 mg, 0.27 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (114 mg, 0.54 mmol), a brown solid of 1ha (245 mg, 71%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.14$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH

100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 3.31 (dd, J = 14.7, 8.3 Hz, 2H), 3.88 (dd,  $J=14.7$ , 10.1 Hz, 2H), 6.32 (dd,  $J=10.1$ , 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.42  $(m, 10H)$ , 7.98  $(t, J=7.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 8.24  $(d, J=7.9 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$ , 8.30  $(dd, J=$ 8.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.39 ppm (d, J=8.7 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 58.1, 86.1, 123.9, 126.4, 126.6, 127.2, 129.2, 129.6, 134.9, 136.8, 147.3, 150.4, 167.5, 171.7 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z: 636  $[M]^+$ ; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 377 (3.65), 480 nm (4.36); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{22}N_4O_6RuH_2O$ : C 55.13, H 3.70, N 8.57; found: C 55.00, H 3.59, N 8.59.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R,5S)-4,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1ia): From general procedure **B** by using 3i (522 mg, 1.0 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (306 mg, 0.50 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (211 mg, 1.0 mmol), a dark green crystalline solid of 1ia was obtained (584 mg, 74%).  $R_f=$ 0.14 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 5.01 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 2H), 5.26 (s, 1H, 0.5 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), 6.23 (d,  $J=7.5$  Hz, 4H), 6.36 (d, J=10.5 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (unresolved dd, 4H), 6.80–6.85 (m, 6H), 7.01–7.02  $(m, 6H)$ , 7.33–7.40  $(m, 3H)$ , 7.76  $(t, J=7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 8.14 ppm  $(d, J=$ 7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 71.5, 88.2, 124.3, 125.6, 125.7, 125.8, 127.7, 127.8, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 132.5, 133.9, 134.1, 147.1, 149.1, 167.6, 171.4 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 788 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 84 [CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis  $(CH_2Cl_2)$ :  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 389 (3.63), 485 nm (4.28); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Ru·0.5 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: C 61.48, H 3.76, N 6.75; found: C 61.27, H 3.74, N 6.76.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R,5R)-4,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1ja): From general procedure **B** by using 3*j* (200 mg, 0.38 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (117 mg, 0.19 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (81 mg, 0.38 mmol), a dark brown crystalline solid of was obtained (224 mg, 75%).  $R_f=0.13$  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 4.48$  (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 2H), 5.85 (d,  $J=10.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.66 (d,  $J=7.1$  Hz, 4H), 7.02–7.05  $(m, 4H), 7.14-7.18$   $(m, 6H), 7.30-7.34$   $(m, 6H), 7.47$   $(m, 3H), 7.70$   $(t, J=$ 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.04 ppm (d,  $J=7.8$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 75.4, 93.6, 124.1, 125.9, 126.0, 127.3, 128.6, 128.9, 129.0, 129.2, 129.4, 133.7, 135.5, 135.7, 147.2, 149.1, 166.9, 171.2 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z: 788  $[M]^+$ ; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 374 (3.77), 486 nm (4.51); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{42}H_{30}N_4O_6Ru$ : C 64.03, H 3.84, N 7.11; found: C 64.21, H 4.05, N 7.12.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(2-chlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ka): From general procedure **B** by using 3k (150 mg, 0.34 mmol),  $[\text{Ru}(p\text{-cymene})\text{Cl}_2]_2]$  (105 mg, 0.17 mmol) and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (72 mg, 0.34 mmol), a deep green solid of 1 ka (130 mg, 54%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.14$  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 4.57-4.61$  (m, 2H), 5.12–5.22 (m, 4H), 6.76 (m, 2H), 6.98–7.00 (m, 2H), 7.07–7.09 (m, 4H), 7.63–7.64 (m, 3H), 7.67 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.97 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 63.9, 77.7, 124.3, 125.9, 126.1,$ 128.3, 128.7, 129.1, 129.8, 132.6, 134.2, 147.0, 149.5, 168.0, 170.9 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 704 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 374 (3.46), 484 nm (4.22); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{30}H_{20}Cl_2N_4O_6Ru \cdot H_2O$ : C 49.87, H 3.07, N 7.75; found: C 49.85, H 3.08, N 7.59.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-5,5-dimethyl-4-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl] pyridine}-(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1la): From general procedure **B** by using 31 (71 mg, 0.17 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (51 mg, 0.083 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (35 mg, 0.17 mmol), a green solid of 1la (82 mg, 70%) was obtained.  $R_f=0.20$  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.97$  (s, 6H), 1.58 (s, 6H), 4.29 (s, 2H), 6.58 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 4H), 6.99–7.07 (m, 6H), 7.33 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.90 ppm (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 24.0, 28.6, 75.7, 93.3, 123.9, 125.5, 125.7, 127.4, 128.1, 128.4, 133.4, 133.5, 147.6, 149.6, 167.1, 171.7 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 692 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  $(\log \epsilon)$  = 378 (3.57), 484 nm (4.30); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{34}H_{30}N_4O_6Ru \cdot H_2O$ : C 57.54, H 4.54, N 7.89; found: C 57.33, H 4.41, N 7.84.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-methyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine)(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1ma): From general procedure B by using  $3m$  (245 mg, 1.0 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (306 mg, 0.5 mmol),

and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (211 mg, 1.0 mmol), a deep red solid of 1ma was obtained (177 mg, 79%).  $R_f = 0.07$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.74$  (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 6H), 3.77– 3.86 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.30 (unresolved dd, 2H), 4.87–4.92 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.60 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 2H), 8.08 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.30 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ = 18.9, 33.8, 60.0, 123.6, 126.7, 127.0, 134.8, 147.3, 151.0, 166.6, 171.5 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 512 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 381 (3.57), 480 nm (4.30); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{19}N_4O_6^{102}Ru + H^+$ : 513.03380; found: 513.03479.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}- (pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 na): From general procedure B by using 3n (201 mg, 0.66 mmol),  $[{Ru}(p\text{-cymene})C_2]_2]$  (204 mg, 0.33 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (141 mg, 0.33 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (141 mg, 0.66 mmol), a green crystalline solid of  $1 \text{ na } (309 \text{ mg}, 82\%)$  was obtained.  $R_f$ =0.33 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 10:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =0.44 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.59 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 6H), 1.03–1.10 (m, 2H), 3.66–3.71 (m, 2H), 4.56–4.60 (unresolved dd, 2H), 4.64–4.68 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.61  $(t, J=7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.85 \text{ (d, } J=7.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 8.08 \text{ (t, } J=7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 8.30 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =13.8, 18.8, 68.9, 71.0, 123.8, 126.6, 127.0, 134.7, 147.1, 150.9, 166.5, 171.5 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 568 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 382 (3.56), 480 nm (4.30).

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-tert-butyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}- (pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 oa): From general procedure B by using  $30 \text{ (112 mg, 0.34 mmol)}$ ,  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl<sub>2</sub>]\}$  (104 mg, 0.17 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (72 mg, 0.34 mmol), a deep orange solid of 1 oa was obtained (46 mg, 23%).  $R_f = 0.18$  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.40$  (s, 18 H), 3.02 (dd, J=3.9, 9.6 Hz, 2H), 4.51–4.55 (unresolved dd, 2H), 4.73 (dd,  $J=3.9, 9.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.69 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.90 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H), 8.02  $(t, J=7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H})$ , 8.29 ppm (d,  $J=7.7 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}$ ); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 24.9, 33.4, 71.7, 73.3, 124.7, 126.7, 126.9, 134.5, 135.5, 147.6, 152.0, 167.6, 172.1 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 596 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  $(\log \epsilon) = 379$  (3.76), 484 nm (4.32); HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd for  $C_{26}H_{31}N_4O_6^{102}Ru + H^+$ : 597.13052; found: 597.12872.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S,5S)-4-methyl-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl] pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 pa): From general procedure **B** by using  $3p(135 \text{ mg}, 0.34 \text{ mmol})$ ,  $[\text{Ru}(p\text{-cymene})\text{Cl}_2]_2]$ (104 mg, 0.17 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (72 mg, 0.34 mmol), a deep green crystals of  $1pa$  was obtained (177 mg, 79%).  $R_f = 0.16$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 0.84$  $(d, J=6.7 \text{ Hz}, 6\text{ H}),$  3.75  $(dd, J=9.7, 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{ H}),$  5.51  $(d, J=9.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{ H}),$ 7.33–7.37 (m, 4H), 7.38–7.41 (m, 6H), 7.69 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H), 8.08 (dd,  $J=7.2$ , 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.23 ppm (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 18.2, 68.1, 92.5, 124.1, 126.5, 126.9, 129.1, 129.6, 135.1, 135.8, 136.7, 147.4, 151.3, 166.5, 171.4 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 664 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 382 (3.81), 482 nm (4.53); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{26}N_4O_6Ru$ : C 57.91, H 3.95, N 8.44; found: C 57.86, H 3.84, N 8.27.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-benzyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 qa): From general procedure B by using  $3q$  (100 mg, 0.25 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (77 mg, 0.13 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (53 mg, 0.25 mmol), a brown solid of 1qa was obtained (141 mg,  $85\%$ ).  $R_f = 0.15$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.27–2.37 (m, 4H), 3.98–4.06 (m, 2H), 4.48 (unresolved dd, 2H), 4.65 (unresolved dd, 2H), 6.74 (d,  $J=$ 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.14–7.18 (m, 6H), 7.62 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d,  $J=$ 7.9 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.39 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 39.3, 65.2, 75.5, 124.0, 126.9, 127.0, 127.4, 128.6, 128.8, 135.1, 147.3, 151.1, 167.2, 171.5 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z: 664 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 382 (3.70), 481 nm (4.49); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{26}N_4O_6Ru \cdot tBuOMe$ : C 59.11, H 5.09, N 7.45; found: C 58.76, H 4.67, N 7.81.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-hydroxymethyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ra): From general procedure **B** by using  $3r$  (50 mg, 0.18 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (55 mg, 0.09 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (38 mg, 0.18 mmol), a red crystalline solid of **1ra** was obtained (58 mg, 59%).  $R_f = 0.21$  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  100:5, neutral alumina); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 2.84 (dd, J = 4.8, 11.3 Hz, 2H), 3.00 (dd, J = 4.1, 11.3 Hz, 2H), 3.61– 3.68 (m, 2H), 4.72 (dd, J=7.5, 8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.84–4.89 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.82 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H), 8.18 (dd,  $J=6.8$ , 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 8.26 ppm (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD):  $\delta$  = 63.0, 67.4, 80.4, 126.0, 129.2, 136.9, 137.4, 149.6, 152.6, 169.9, 174.6 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 544 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 383 (3.57), 476 nm (4.32); HRMS (ESI +):  $m/z$ calcd for  $C_{20}H_{18}N_4O_8^{102}Ru$ : 544.01827; found: 544.01678.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-(tert-butyl-dimethylsilanyloxymethyl)-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 sa): From general procedure **B** by using  $3s$  (25 mg, 0.049 mmol), [{Ru(p-cymene) $Cl<sub>2</sub>$ ] (15 mg, 0.025 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (10 mg, 0.049 mmol), a deep red solid of  $1sa$  was obtained (24 mg, 63%).  $R_{\rm f}$ =0.17 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = -0.19 (s, 6H), 0.17 (s, 6H), 0.71 (s, 18H), 2.98–3.06 (m, 4H), 3.73–3.81 (m, 2H), 4.73–4.77 (unresolved dd, 2H), 4.80–4.85 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.61  $(t, J=7.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.87 \text{ (d, } J=7.9 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 8.10 \text{ (t, } J=7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 8.34 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = -5.8$ , 5.7, 17.9, 25.6, 63.0, 65.5, 75.0, 124.0, 126.7, 127.1, 134.8, 147.2, 151.0, 168.0, 171.1 ppm; FAB-MS: *m*/z: 772 [*M*]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $λ_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 382 (3.68), 481 nm (4.26); HRMS (ESI+): m/z calcd for  $C_{32}H_{46}N_4O_8^{102}RuSi_2$ : 772.20908; found: 772.24414.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-{(4R)-4-[1-(tert-Butyldimethylsilanyloxy)ethyl]-4,5 dihydrooxazol-2-yl}pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium (1 ta): From general procedure **B** by using 3t (30 mg, 0.060 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cym-}\]$ ene) $Cl<sub>2</sub>$  $[2]$  (18 mg, 0.030 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (13 mg,  $0.060$  mmol), a deep orange solid of 1ta was obtained (34 mg, 71 %).  $R_f = 0.10$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$  $-0.33$  (s, 3H),  $-0.22$  (s, 2H), 0.67 (d,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 2H), 0.68 (s, 18H), 3.13– 3.19 (m, 2H), 3.72–3.77 (m, 2H), 4.69 (unresolved dd, 2H), 4.93 (dd, J= 6.5, 9.4 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H), 8.09 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.35 ppm (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = -5.5, -5.1, 15.6, 17.7, 25.5, 66.8, 68.3, 71.9, 124.2, 126.8,$ 127.1, 134.7, 147.1, 151.0, 167.6, 171.0 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z:800 [M]<sup>+</sup>.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-Methoxycarbonyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate) ruthenium (1 ua): From general procedure **B** by using 3u (100 mg, 0.30 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (92 mg, 0.15 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (63 mg, 0.30 mmol), a deep green brown crystalline solid of  $1$ ua was obtained (32 mg, 18%).  $R_f$ =0.46 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5, neutral alumina); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.49 (s, 6H), 4.17 (dd, J = 7.2, 9.6 Hz, 2H), 4.94 (unresolved dd, 2H), 5.18 (unresolved dd, 2H), 7.66 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d,  $J=$ 7.7 Hz, 2H), 8.16 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.35 ppm (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H);  $13$ C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 53.7, 64.4, 73.8, 125.3, 126.2, 126.6,$ 135.6, 146.6, 151.1, 167.4, 169.0, 171.7 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 600 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 371 (3.79), 488 nm (4.43); HRMS (ESI+ ):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{22}H_{18}N_4O_{10}^{102}Ru$ : 600.01109; found: 600.00665

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-phenyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium  $((R)-2aa)$ : From general procedure **B** by using  $(R)$ -4a (199 mg, 0.50 mmol),  $[{Ru}(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2]_2]$ (153 mg, 0.25 mmol), and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (106 mg, 0.50 mmol), a green solid of (R)-2aa (132 mg, 40%) was obtained.  $R_f$ = 0.11 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.80–1.85 (m, 2H), 2.25–2.33, (m, 2H), 3.74–3.76 (m, 2H), 4.33–4.39 (m, 2H), 4.42– 4.46 (m, 2H), 6.42 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.01 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.11 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.44–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.62 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 ppm (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=30.4$ , 57.5, 62.7, 124.0, 125.4, 125.8, 126.0, 127.4, 128.6, 132.5, 139.3, 149.3, 151.8, 160.3, 171.4 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 664 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 384 (3.47), 482 nm (4.23); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C32H26N4O6Ru·H2O: C 56.38, H 4.14, N 8.22; found: C 56.13, H 3.96, N 8.23.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-phenyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium ((S)-2aa): From general procedure **B** by using (S)-4a (199 mg, 0.50 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})C1_2\}]$ (153 mg, 0.25 mmol) and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (106 mg,

Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1855 – 1874  $\odot$  2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> –––1871

0.50 mmol), a green solid of (S)-2 aa (95 mg, 29%) was obtained.  $R_f=$ 0.13 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.80–1.84 (m, 2H), 2.24–2.32, (m, 2H), 3.73–3.75 (m, 2H), 4.32–4.38 (m, 2H), 4.41– 4.45 (m, 2H), 6.41 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.00 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.10 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.43–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.61 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.96 ppm (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 30.4$ , 57.5, 62.6, 123.9, 125.3, 125.8, 126.0, 127.4, 128.6, 132.5, 139.2, 149.3, 151.8, 160.3, 171.4 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 664 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 385 (3.47), 482 nm (4.26); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{26}N_4O_6Ru·H_2O$ : C 56.38, H 4.14, N 8.22; found: C 56.34, H 4.03, N 8.07.

Synthesis of  ${2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-phenyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyri$ dine}(4-chloropyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium  $((R)$ -2 ac): From general procedure **B** by using  $(R)$ -4**a** (197 mg, 0.50 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p-cym$ ene) $Cl_2$ [2] (152 mg, 0.25 mmol), **5c** (100 mg, 0.50 mmol), and NaOH (40 mg, 1.0 mmol), a green solid of  $(R)$ -2ac (132 mg, 38%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.16$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.85-$ 1.89 (m, 2H), 2.23–2.32, (m, 2H), 3.71–3.73 (m, 2H), 4.35–4.41 (m, 2H), 4.44–4.49 (m, 2H), 6.44 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.05 (unresolved dd, 4H), 7.16 (t,  $J=7.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.40 (s, 2H), 7.64 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.98 ppm (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=30.5, 57.8, 63.1,$ 124.1, 126.0, 126.0, 127.6, 128.7, 139.2, 140.3, 150.1, 152.0, 160.4, 170.4 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 698 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 393 (3.53), 485 nm (4.32); elemental analysis calcd (%) for  $C_{32}H_{25}CIN_4O_6Ru 0.5H_2O$ : C 54.36, H 3.71, N 7.92; found: C 54.37, H 3.66, N 8.00.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-(1-naphthyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl] pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium  $((R)$ -2ba): From general procedure **B** by using  $(R)$ -4b (650 mg, 1.3 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-symene})Cl_2\}]$ (400 mg, 0.65 mmol) and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (276 mg, 1.3 mmol), a green solid of (R)-2ba (488 mg, 49%) was obtained.  $R_f$ = 0.11 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.90 (d, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 2H), 2.39-2.45, (m, 2H), 4.40-4.54 (m, 6H), 6.20 (t,  $J=7.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.60–6.62 (m, 4H), 7.12–7.16 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.29 (m, 6H), 7.55 (d,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d,  $J=8.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.98 ppm (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 29.0, 53.2, 62.8, 121.1, 123.9, 124.7, 125.1, 125.3, 125.8, 125.9, 126.0, 127.9, 128.3, 128.7, 130.7, 133.2, 134.3, 148.1, 151.9, 161.0, 171.1 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 765  $[M+H]^+$ ; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 383 (3.39), 481 nm (4.25); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{40}H_{30}N_4O_6^{102}Ru$ : 764.12091; found: 764.14739.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(1-naphthyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl] pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium  $((S)-2ba)$ : By using  $(S)$ -**4b** (400 mg, 0.80 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$  (246 mg, 0.40 mmol) and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (170 mg, 0.80 mmol), a green solid of (S)-2ba (293 mg, 48%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.11$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.89 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 2H), 2.37–2.45, (m, 2H), 4.38–4.53 (m, 6H), 6.20 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.59–6.62 (m, 4H), 7.12– 7.16 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.29 (m, 6H), 7.56 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J= 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.99 ppm (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 29.0, 53.2, 62.8, 121.0, 123.9, 124.7, 125.1, 125.3, 125.7, 125.9, 126.0, 127.9, 128.3, 128.6, 130.6, 133.1, 134.3, 148.2, 151.9, 160.9, 171.2 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z: 765 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 383 (3.37), 482 nm (4.25); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$ calcd for  $(C_{40}H_{30}N_4O_6^{102}Ru)$ : 764.12091; found: 764.14165.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium ((S)-2 da): From general procedure **B** by using (S)-4d (200 mg, 0.43 mmol),  $[\text{Ru}(p\text{-cyme} - p\text{-cோ})]$ ne)Cl2}2] (131 mg, 0.21 mmol) and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (91 mg, 0.43 mmol), a green solid of  $(S)$ -2da (171 mg, 54%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.25$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =1.80–1.85 (m, 2H), 2.22–2.30, (m, 2H), 3.70–3.73 (m, 2H), 4.28–4.34 (m, 2H), 4.43–4.48 (m, 2H), 6.36 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 4H), 6.96 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.62 (t,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 ppm (d,  $J=7.9$  Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100.61 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ :  $\delta = 30.4, 57.0, 63.0, 124.3, 125.6, 125.7, 127.3, 128.8,$ 132.7, 133.3, 137.7, 149.4, 151.8, 160.6, 171.3 ppm; FAB-MS: m/z: 732 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 734 [M+2]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 386 (3.46), 483 nm

(4.27); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{32}H_{25}^{35}Cl_2N_4O_6^{102}Ru$ : 733.01947; found: 733.01749.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4S)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium ((S)-2 ea): From general procedure **B** by using  $(S)$ -4e  $(97 \text{ mg}, 0.21 \text{ mmol})$ ,  $[\{Ru(p-cym$ ene) $Cl<sub>2</sub>$ ] (65 mg, 0.11 mmol) and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (45 mg, 0.21 mmol), a green solid of  $(S)$ -2ea (80 mg, 53%) was obtained.  $R_f$ =0.19 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ =1.81– 1.84 (m, 2H), 2.22–2.28, (m, 2H), 3.67–3.69 (m, 2H), 3.75 (s, 6H), 4.33– 4.37 (m, 2H), 4.43–4.46 (m, 2H), 6.32 (d,  $J=8.5$  Hz, 4H), 6.51 (d,  $J=$ 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.45–7.53 (m, 3H), 7.60 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.95 ppm (d,  $J =$ 7.8 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 30.7, 55.5, 57.0, 63.0,$ 114.2, 123.9, 125.3, 125.6, 127.2, 131.5, 132.5, 149.4, 152.0, 158.6, 160.1, 171.5 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 724 [M]<sup>+</sup>; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 387 (3.45), 482 nm (4.28); HRMS (ESI+):  $m/z$  calcd for  $C_{34}H_{31}N_4O_8^{102}Ru$ : 725.11853; found: 725.11740.

Synthesis of {2,6-bis-[(4R)-4-isopropyl-5,6-dihydro-4H-[1,3]oxazinyl]pyridine}(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate)ruthenium  $((R)-2$  fa): From general procedure **B** by using  $(R)$ -4**f** (329 mg, 1.0 mmol),  $[\{Ru(p\text{-cymene})Cl_2\}]$ (306 mg, 0.50 mmol) and disodium pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate (211 mg, 1.0 mmol), a deep green crystalline solid of  $(R)$ -2 fa (166 mg, 28%) was obtained.  $R_f = 0.05$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 100:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$ =0.46 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 6H), 0.49 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 6H), 1.17-1.25 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.98 (m, 4H), 2.51 (dd,  $J=4.3$ , 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.46 (m, 4H), 7.65 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 2H), 8.04 (t,  $J=7.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.26 ppm (d, J=7.7 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.61 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 16.4, 19.0, 21.8, 30.8, 58.3, 64.9, 124.0, 126.4, 126.8, 133.4, 151.8, 152.5, 159.5, 171.6 ppm; FAB-MS:  $m/z$ : 597  $[M+H]^+$ ; UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) = 395 (3.59), 481 nm (4.38); elemental analysis calcd  $\theta$  (%) for  $C_{26}H_{30}N_4O_6Ru·H_2O$ : C 50.89, H 5.26, N 9.13; found: C 50.90, H 5.00, N 9.08.

X-ray crystallographic studies of the complexes: Data were collected with a STOE-IPDS diffractometer using graphite-monochromated  $Mo_{Ka}$ radiation. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97: G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997) and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques against  $F^2$  (SHELXL-97: G. M. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997). XP (BRUKER AXS) was used for structure representations. CCDC-279900–279903 (1ia, 1 ja,  $1$ na, and  $(S)$ -2 aa, respectively) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data canbe obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data\_request/cif.

Computational methods: All calculations were carried out by using the Gaussian 98 program.<sup>[54]</sup> All structures were optimized at B3LYP density functional level of theory with the LANL2DZ<sup>[55]</sup> basis set, and characterized as energy minimum structures without imaginary number of frequencies at the same level of theory (B3LYP/LANL2DZ).<sup>[56]</sup> The B3LYP/LANL2DZ structures were further refined at the B3LYP DFT level of theory with the LANL2DZ basis set by adding a set of polarization function (LANL2DZ(d)). The B3LYP/LANLDZ(d) optimized structures are used for comparison with the available X-ray data. To assign the observed UV-visible adsorption spectra, we carried out time-dependent DFT calculations at the B3P86/LANL2DZ(d) DFT level with the B3LYP/LANL2DZ(d) optimized structures.

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been financed by the State of Mecklenburg-Western Pommerania, the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SPP "Sekundäre Wechselwirkungen"). We thank Prof. Dr. M. Michalik, Dr. W. Baumann, C. Mewes, H. Baudisch, A. Lehmann, and S. Buchholz (all IfOK) for their excellent technical and analytical support. Dr. A. Köckritz (ACA) is thanked for general discussions. M.K.T. thanks the Alexander-von-Humboldt-Stiftung for granting him an AvH-fellowship and Prof. L. J. Farrugia for the freeware Ortep-3 for Windows.<sup>[52]</sup>

- [1] a) Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed. (Eds.: M. Beller, C. Bolm), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; b) Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis (Eds.: E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto), Springer, Berlin, 1999; c) Asymmetric Catalysis in Organic Synthesis (Ed.: R. Noyori), Wiley, New York, 1994.
- [2] For rational design of ligands see: a) K. L. Ding, H. F. Du, Y. Yuan, J. Long, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 2872 – 2884; b) S. D. Walker, T. E. Barder, J. R. Martinelli, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 1907 – 1912; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1871 – 1876; c) J.-k. Huang, E. J. Corey, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3455 – 3458; d) Z. B. Luo, Q. Z. Liu, L. Z. Gong, X. Cui, A. Mi, Y. Z. Jiang, Chem. Commun. 2002, 914 – 915; e) E. F. Dimauro, M. C. Kozlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12 668 – 12 669; f) B. M. Trost, D. L. Van Vranken, C. Bingel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9327-9343.
- [3] For a discussion on the "ideal catalyst", see: J. A. Gladysz, Pure Appl. Chem. 2001, 73, 1319 – 1324.
- [4] a) J. M. Bruel, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 857 897; b) S. G. Telfer, R. Kuroda, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 242, 33 – 46; c) P. Kocovsky, S. Vyskocil, M. Smrcina, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3213 – 3245; d) Y. Chen, S. Yekta, A. K. Yudin, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3155-3211.
- [5] a) T. Katsuki, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2002, 344, 131 147; b) T. Katsuki in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis, 2nd ed. (Ed.: I. Ojima), Wiley-VCH, New York, 2000, 287-325; c) E.N. Jacobsen in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis (Ed.: I. Ojima), VCH, New York, 1993, Chapter 4.2.
- [6] a) H. A. McManus, P. J. Guiry, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 4151 4202; b) K. A. Jorgensen, M. Johannsen, S. Yao, H. Audrain, J. Thornauge, Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 605 – 613; c) A. K. Ghosh, P. Mathivanan, J. Cappiello, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1-45.
- [7] For a review, see: G. Helmchen, A. Pfaltz, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 336 – 345.
- [8] a) D. Seebach, A. K. Beck, A. Heckel, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 96– 142; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 92 – 139; b) T. Katsuki, V. S. Martin, *Org. React.* **1996**, 48, 1-299; c) R. A. Johnson, K. B. Sharpless in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis (Ed.: I. Ojima), VCH, New York, 1993, Chapter 4.1.
- [9] a) C. Bolm, J. P. Hildebrand, K. Muñiz in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis (Ed.: I. Ojima), Wiley-VCH, New-York, 2000, pp. 399 – 428; b) I. E. Markó, J. S. Svendsen in Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis II (Eds.: E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto), Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 713-787; c) H. C. Kolb, K. B. Sharpless in Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis, Vol. 2 (Eds.: M. Beller, C. Bolm), VCH, Weinheim, 1998, pp. 219 – 242; d) M. Beller, K. B. Sharpless in Applied Homogenous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds, Vol. 2 (Eds.: B. Cornils, W. A. Herrmann), VCH, Weinheim, 1996, pp. 1009-1024; e) H . C. Kolb, M. S. Van Nieuwenzhe, K. B. Sharpless, Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483 – 2547.
- [10] For dihydroxylation of olefins using oxygen or air see: a) U. Sundermeier, C. Döbler, G. M. Mehltretter, W. Baumann, M. Beller, Chirality 2003, 15, 127–134; b) C. Döbler, G. M. Mehltretter, U. Sundermeier, M. Beller, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 621, 70-76; c) C. Döbler, G. Mehltretter, U. Sundermeier, M. Beller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10289-10297; d) G.M. Mehltretter, C. Döbler, U. Sundermeier, M. Beller, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 8083-8087; e) C. Döbler, G. Mehltretter, M. Beller, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 3211 – 3212; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3026 – 3028.
- [11] For an excellent review using Ru complexes for epoxidation reactions see: a) S.-I. Murahashi, N. Komiya in Ruthenium in Organic Synthesis (Ed.: S.-I. Murahashi), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004, pp. 53 – 93; b) G. A. Barf, R. A. Sheldon, J. Mol. Catal. A 1995, 102, 23 – 39; recent achievements in Ru-based asymmetric epoxidation see: c) F. Pezet, H. Aït-Haddou, J.-C. Daran, I. Sadaki, G. G. A. Balavoine, Chem. Commun. 2002, 510-511; d) R. M. Stoop, S. Bachmann, M. Valentini, A. Mezzetti, Organometallics 2000, 19, 4117 – 4126; e) Z. Gross, S. Ini, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 2077 – 2080, and references therein; f) N. End, A. Pfaltz, Chem. Commun. 1998, 589 – 590; g) R. I. Kureshy, N. H. Khan, S. H. R. Abdi, K. N. Bhatt, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1693-1701; for recent examples with Ru-

salen complexes see: h) T. Takeda, R. Irie, Y. Shinoda, T. Katsuki, Synlett 1999, 1157 – 1159; i) K. Nakata, T. Takeda, J. Mihara, T. Hamada, R. Irie, T. Katsuki, Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 3776 – 3782.

- [12] a) M. K. Tse, M. Klawonn, S. Bhor, C. Döbler, G. Anilkumar, H. Hugl, W. Mägerlein, M. Beller, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 987-990; b) M. K. Tse, C. Döbler, S. Bhor, M. Klawonn, W. Mägerlein, H. Hugl, M. Beller, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5367 – 5372; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5255 – 5260; c) M. Klawonn, M. K. Tse, S. Bhor, C. Döbler, M. Beller, J. Mol. Catal. A 2004, 218, 13 – 19; d) S. Bhor, M. K. Tse, M. Klawonn, C. Döbler, W. Mägerlein, M. Beller, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 263-267; e) M. K. Tse, S. Bhor, M. Klawonn, C. Döbler, M. Beller, Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7479-7483; for newly developed "pybim" ligands, see also: f) S. Bhor, G. Anilkumar, M. K. Tse, M. Klawonn, C. Döbler, B. Bitterlich, A. Grotevendt, M. Beller, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3393 – 3396; g) G. Anilkumar, S. Bhor, M. K. Tse, M. Klawonn, B. Bitterlich, M. Beller, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 3536 – 3561.
- [13] See also references [14b, 15, 18c] for pioneer work of "Pybox" from Nishiyama and co-workers.
- [14] a) D. Cuervo, M. P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 425 – 432; b) H. Nishiyama, S. Yamaguchi, M. Kondo, K. Itoh, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4306 – 4309.
- [15] a) S. Iwasa, K. Morita, K. Tajima, A. Fakhruddin, H. Nishiyama, Chem. Lett. 2002, 284 – 285; b) M. B. Andrus, J. C. Lashley, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 845 – 866; c) S. Iwasa, K. Tajima, S. Tsushima, H. Nishiyama, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5897 – 5899; d) M. Schulz, R. Kluge, F. G. Gelalcha, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 4341 – 4360; e) H. Nishiyama, T. Shimada, H. Itoh, H. Sugiyama, Y. Motoyama, Chem. Commun. 1997, 1863 – 1864.
- [16] a) D. A. Evans, C. E. Masse, J. Wu, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3375-3378; b) D. A. Evans, J. Wu, C. E. Masse, D. W. C. MacMillan, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3379 – 3382; c) C.-X. Zhao, M. O. Duffey, S. J. Taylor, J. P. Morken, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1829 – 1831; d) G. Desimoni, G. Faita, S. Filippone, M. Mella, M. G. Zampori, M. Zema, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 10 203 – 10 212; e) D. A. Evans, V. J. Cee, T. E. Smith, D. M. Fitch, P. S. Cho, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 2633 – 2636; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2533 – 2536; f) D. A. Evans, M. C. Kozlowski, J. A. Murry, C. S. Burgey, K. R. Campos, B. T. Connell, R. J. Staples, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 669 – 685; g) S. J. Taylor, J. P. Morken, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 12 202 – 12 203; h) D. A. Evans, M. C. Kozlowski, C. S. Burgey, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7893 – 7894; i) D. A. Evans, J. A. Murry, M. C. Kozlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 5814-5815; j) D. A. Evans, M. C. Kozlowski, J. S. Tedrow, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 7481 – 7484; k) R. Nesper, P. S. Pregosin, K. Püntener, M. Wörle, Helv. Chim. Acta 1993, 76, 2239 – 2249.
- [17] a) G. Desimoni, G. Faita, M. Guala, C. Pratelli, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2929 – 2935; b) S. Fukuzawa, H. Matsuzawa, K. Metoki, Synlett 2001, 709 – 711; c) D. A. Evans, D. M. Barnes, J. S. Johnson, T. Lectka, P. von Matt, S. J. Miller, J. A. Murry, R. D. Norcross, E. A. Shaughnessy, K. R. Campos, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7582 – 7594; d) D. A. Evans, M. C. Kozlowski, J. S. Tedrow, Tetrahedron Lett. **1996**, 37, 7481–7484; e) D. A. Evans, J. A. Murry, P. von Matt. R. D. Norcross, S. J. Miller, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 864-867; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 798 – 800.
- [18] a) P. Müller, C. Boléa, Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 1093-1111; b) P. Müller, C. Boléa, Synlett 2000, 826-828; c) H. Nishiyama, Enantiomer 1999, 4, 569-574; d) H. Nishiyama, N. Soeda, T. Naito, Y. Motoyama, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 2865 – 2869; e) D. Moye-Sherman, M. B. Welch, J. Reibenspies, K. Burgess, Chem. Commun. 1998, 2377 – 2378; f) I. W. Davies, L. Gerena, D. Cai, R. D. Larsen, T. R. Verhoeven, P. J. Reider, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 1145 – 1148.
- [19] C. Langham, P. Piaggio, D. Bethell, D. F. Lee, P. McMorn, P. C. Bulman Page, D. J. Willock, C. Sly, F. E. Hancock, F. King, G. Hutchings, Chem. Commun. 1998, 1601 – 1602.
- [20] a) J. Zhou, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1340-1341; b) J. Zhou, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14726-14727.
- [21] a) Y. Imanishi, K. Nomura, J. Polym. Sci. Part A 2000, 38, 4613-4626; b) K. Nomura, W. Sidokmai, Y. Imanishi, Bull. Chem. Soc.

Jpn. 2000, 73, 599-605; c) K. Nomura, S. Warit, Y. Imanishi, Macromolecules 1999, 32, 4732 – 4734.

- [22] a) G. Desimoni, G. Faita, P. Quadrelli, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3119 3154; b) J. S. Johnson, D. A. Evans, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 325 – 335; c) A. K. Ghosh, P. Mathivanan, J. Cappiello, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1-45; d) A. Pfaltz, Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 339 – 345.
- [23] For the results of catalysis and mechanistic studies see the following manuscript: M. K. Tse, S. Bhor, M. Klawonn, C. Döbler, G. Anilkumar, A. Spannenberg, H.-J. Jiao, W. Mägerlein, H. Hugl, M. Beller, Chem. Eur. J. DOI: 10.1002/chem.200501262.
- [24] G. Li, H. H. Angert, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 2995 – 2999; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 2813 – 2817.
- [25] M. Beller, M. Eckert, H. Geissler, B. Napierski, H.-P. Rebenstock, E. W. Holla, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1998, 4, 935–941 and references therein.
- [26] a) C. Mazet, L. H. Gade, *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, 42, 210-215; b) G. H. Bernardinelli, E. P. Kündig, P. Meier, A. Pfaltz, K. Radkowski, N. Zimmermann, M. Neuburger-Zehnder, Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 3233-3246; c) S. Liu, J. F. K. Müller, M. Neuburger, S. Schaffner, M. Zehnder, Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 1256-1267; d) E. P. Kündig, P. Meier, Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 1360 – 1370; e) P. A. Evans, T. A. Brandt, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1563-1565; f) P. A. Evans, T. A. Brandt, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 9143 – 9146.
- [27] P. Kuehne, A. Linden, M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 1085-1094.
- [28] a) S. J. Faulconbridge, K. E. Holt, L. G. Sevillano, C. J. Lock, P. D. Tiffin, N. Tremayne, S. Winter, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2679 – 2681; b) S. Kobayashi, T. Limori, T. Izawa, M. Ohno, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2405-2406; c) S. G. Cohen, S. Y. Weinstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1964**, 86, 725-728.
- [29] a) A. Córdova, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 1987-1997; b) A. Córdova, Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 102-112; c) S. Kobayashi, H. Ishitani, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1069-1094; d) Enantioselective Synthesis of  $\beta$ -Amino Acids, (Ed.: E. Juaristi), VCH, Weinheim, 1997.
- [30] Enantiomerically pure  $\beta$ -amino acids can be purchased from Pep-Tech Corporation, or Degussa AG.
- [31] a) C. Jönsson, K. Hallman, H. Anderson, G. Stemme, M. Malkoch, E. Malmström, A. Hult, C. Moberg, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2002, 12, 1857 – 1861; b) A. Cornejo, J. M. Fraile, J. I. Garcia, E. Garcia-Verdugo, M. J. Gil, G. Lagarreta, S. V. Luis, V. Martinez-Merino, J. A. Mayoral, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3927 – 3930.
- [32] a) Y. Kato, S. Okado, K. Tomimoto, T. Mase, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 4849 – 4851; b) O. Lohse, P. Thevenin, E. Waldvogel, Synlett, 1999, 45 – 48; c) J. Parlow, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1998, 35, 1493 – 1499; d) D. G. Markees, G. W. Kidder, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 4130 – 4132.
- [33] Compounds 3a, 3n and 3p were purchased from Aldrich, Fluka or Strem.
- [34] I. W. Davis, L. Gerena, N. Lu, R. D. Larsen, P. J. Reider, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9629-9630.
- [35] G. Desimoni, G. Faita, M. Guala, C. Pratelli, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 1651 – 1654.
- [36] N. J. Lawrence, S. M. Bushell, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4507-4512.
- [37] P. J. Heard, C. Jones, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1997, 1083-1091.
- [38] G. Chelucci, S. Deriu, G. A. Pinna, A. Saba, R. Valenti, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 3803 – 3809.
- [39] a) R. Nesper, P. S. Pregosin, K. Püntener, M. Wörle, A. Albinati, J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 507, 85 – 101; b) H. Nishiyama, Y. Itoh, Y. Sugawara, H. Matsumoto, K. Aoki, K. Itoh, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 1247 – 1262.
- [40] S. Iwasa, H. Nakamura, H. Nishiyama, Heterocycles 2000, 52, 939 944.
- [41] S. Iwasa, F. Takezawa, Y. Tuchiya, H. Nishiyama, Chem. Commun.  $2001, 59 - 60.$
- [42] A. J. Phillips, Y. Uto, P. Wipf, M. J. Reno, D. R. Williams, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1165 – 1168.
- [43] (S)-2-Chlorophenyl glycinol (10) was obtained by reduction of commercially available enantiomerically pure (S)-2-chlorophenyl glycin

with  $N$ a $BH$ <sub>4</sub> in acidic medium in THF in 40% yield. See also: a) S. Y. Liu, J. F. K. Muller, N. Neuburger, S. Schaffner, M. Zahnder, Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 1256-1267; b) P. O'Brien, S. A. Osborne, D. D. Parker, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 2519 – 2526.

- [44] a) S. Tohma, K. Rikimaru, A. Endo, K. Shimamoto, T. Kan, T. Fukuyama, Synthesis 2004, 909 – 917; b) S. Hong, S. Tian, M. V. Metz, T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14 768 – 14 783; c) V. Peper, J. Martens, Chem. Ber. 1996, 129, 691-695.
- [45] (S)-3-Amino-4-methylpentanoic acid was purchased from PepTech Corporation. (S)-3-Amino-3-(4-chlorophenyl)propanoic acid and (S)-3-amino-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-propanoic acid were obtained from Degussa AG.
- [46] a) M. J. Ashton, S. J. Hills, C. G. Newton, J. B. Taylor, S. C. D. Tondu, Heterocycles 1989, 28, 1015 – 1035; b) V. M. Rdionow, L. W. Antik, N. A. Krawtschenkow, Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1953, 23, 1835 – 1842; c) V. M. Rodionov, B. I. Kurtev, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Otd. Tekh. Nauk 1952, 113 – 120; Chem. Abstr. 1953, 2157 f.
- [47] H. Matsuyama, A. Kurosawa, T. Takei, N. Ohira, M. Yoshida, M. Iyoda, Chem. Lett. 2000, 1104 – 1105.
- [48] In situ generation of  $[Ru(S, S-Ph<sub>2</sub>-pybox)(pydic)]$  (1aa) with  $RuCl<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O$  as catalyst to epoxidize *trans*-stilbene using  $PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$ as the oxidant gave only 30% yield with 15% ee while pre-made 1 aa gave 84% yield with 57% ee, see also reference [12e].
- [49] a) E. Gallo, A. Caselli, F. Ragaini, S. Fantauzzi, N. Masciocchi, A. Sironi, S. Cenini, Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 2039 – 2049; b) A. Berkessel, P. Kaiser, J. Lex, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 4746 – 4756; c) Z. Gross, S. Ini, Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 1446 – 1449; d) T.-S. Lai, H.-L. Kwong, R. Zhang, C. M. Che, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1998, 3559 – 3564; e) W. H. Leung, C. M. Che, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8812-8818; f) J. P. Collman, C. E. Barnes, P. J. Brothers, T. J. Collins, T. Ozawa, J. C. Gallucci, J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5151 – 5163.
- [50] C.-T. Qian, L.-C. Wang, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 2347 -2357.
- [51] S. M. Couchman, J. M. Dominguez-Vera, J. C. Jeffery, C. A. McKee, S. N. M. Pohlman, C. M. White, M. D. Ward, Polyhedron 1998, 17, 3541 – 3550.
- [52] For Ortep-3 for Windows see: L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 565.
- [53] The reduction of  $\beta$ -amino acids with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> was reference to the synthesis of (S)-3-amino-3-(1-naphthyl)-1-propanol in H. L. van Lingen, J. K. W. van de Mortel, K. F. W. Hekking, F. L. van Delft, T. Sonke, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 317 – 324.
- [54] Gaussian98, Revision A 11.3, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, A. G. Baboul, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzalez, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, C. Gonzalez, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 1998.
- [55] a) P. J. Hay, W. R. Wadt, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, 82, 299-310; b) T. H. Dunning, Jr., P. J. Hay, Modern Theoretical Chemistry (Ed.: H. F. Schaefer), Plenum, New York, 1976, p. 1; c) For polarization functions see: S. Huzinaga, J. Anzelm, M. Klobukowski, E. Radzio-Andzelm, Y. Sakai, H. Tatewaki, Gaussian Basis Sets for Molecular Calculations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [56] J. B. Foresman, Æ. Frisch, Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods: A Guide to Using Gaussian, 2nd ed., Gaussian, Pittsburgh PA, 1996.

Received: October 11, 2005 Published online: January 27, 2006

1874 <www.chemeurj.org> © 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1855 – 1874